arXiv:1111.3091v1 [math.OA] 14 Nov 2011

C^*-ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH HILBERT C^*-QUAD MODULES OF C^*-TEXTILE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

KENGO MATSUMOTO

Abstract. A C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ connsists of a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, two families of endomorphisms $\{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho}$ and $\{\eta_a\}_{a \in \Sigma^\eta}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and certain commutation relations κ among them. It yields a two-dimensional subshift and multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules, which we call a Hilbert C^*-quad module. We introduce a C^*-algebra from the Hilbert C^*-quad module as a two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of C^*-algebras from Hilbert C^*-bimodules. We study the C^*-algebras defined by the Hilbert C^*-quad modules and prove that they have universal properties subject to certain operator relations. We also present its examples arising from commuting matrices.

1. Introduction

In [16], the author has introduced a notion of λ-graph system as a generalization of finite labeled graphs. The λ-graph systems yield C^*-algebras so that its K-theory groups are related to topological conjugacy invariants of the underlying symbolic dynamical systems. He has extended the notion of λ-graph system to C^*-symbolic dynamical system, which is a generalization of both a λ-graph system and an automorphism of a unital C^*-algebra. It is denoted by $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ and consists of a finite family $\{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ of endomorphisms of a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\rho_\alpha(Z_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset Z_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \rho_\alpha(1) \geq 1$ where $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the center of $\mathcal{A}$. A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ yields a C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}}, \rho^{\mathfrak{L}}, \Sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is $C(\Omega_{\mathfrak{L}})$ for some compact Hausdorff space $\Omega_{\mathfrak{L}}$ with $\dim \Omega_{\mathfrak{L}} = 0$. A C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ provides a subshift Λ_ρ over Σ and a Hilbert C^*-bimodule $\mathcal{H}^\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ over $\mathcal{A}$ which gives rise to a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra ([19], cf. [11], [31]).

G. Robertson–T. Steger [34] have initiated a certain study of higher dimensional analogue of Cuntz–Krieger algebras from the view point of tiling systems of 2-dimensional plane. After their work, A. Kumjian–D. Pask [12] have generalized their construction to introduce the notion of higher rank graphs and its C^*-algebras. Since then, there have been many studies on these C^*-algebras by many authors (see for example [6], [8], [12], [32], [27], [34], etc.).

M. Nasu in [25] has introduced the notion of textile system which is useful in analyzing automorphisms and endomorphisms of topological Markov shifts. A textile system also gives rise to a two-dimensional tiling called Wang tiling. Among textile systems, LR textile systems have specific properties that consist of two commuting symbolic matrices. In [20], the author has extended the notion of textile systems to λ-graph systems and has defined a notion of textile systems on λ-graph systems, which are called textile λ-graph systems for short. C^*-algebras associated to textile systems have been initiated by V. Deaconu ([6]).

In [23], the author has extended the notion of C^*-symbolic dynamical system to C^*-textile dynamical system which is a higher dimensional analogue of C^*-symbolic dynamical system. The C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ consists of two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma^\rho)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \eta, \Sigma^\eta)$ with a common unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a commutation relation between ρ and η through a map κ below. Set

$$\Sigma^{\rho\eta} = \{(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^\rho \times \Sigma^\eta \mid \eta_b \circ \rho_\alpha \neq 0\}, \quad \Sigma^{\eta\rho} = \{(a, \beta) \in \Sigma^\eta \times \Sigma^\rho \mid \rho_\beta \circ \eta_a \neq 0\}.$$

We require that there exists a bijection $\kappa : \Sigma^{\rho\eta} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\eta\rho}$, which we fix and call a specification. Then the required commutation relations are

$$\eta_b \circ \rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta \circ \eta_a \qquad \text{if} \quad \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta). \tag{1.1}$$

The author has also introduced a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ from $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ which is realized as the universal C^*-algebra $C^*(x, S_\alpha, T_a; x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta)$ generated by $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and two families of partial isometries $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, $T_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ subject to the following relations called $(\rho, \eta; \kappa)$:

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1, \qquad x S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* x, \qquad S_\alpha^* x S_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(x), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} T_b T_b^* = 1, \qquad x T_a T_a^* = T_a T_a^* x, \qquad T_a^* x T_a = \eta_a(x), \tag{1.3}$$

$$S_\alpha T_b = T_a S_\beta \qquad \text{if} \quad \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ ([23]). The algebra is a generalization of some of higher rank graph algebras.

In the present paper, the author will introduce another kind of C^*-algebras associated with the C^*-textile dynamical systems from the view point of Hilbert C^*-modules. The resulting C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ are different from the above algebras $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$. A C^*-textile dynamical system provides a two-dimensional subshift and multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules that have multi right actions and multi left actions and multi inner products. We call it a Hilbert C^*-quad module denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$, which we will introduce in the present paper, is constructed in a concrete way from the structure of the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ by a two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction from Hilbert C^*-bimodules. It is generated by the quotient images of creation operators on two-dimensional analogue of Fock Hilbert module by module maps of compact operators. As a result, we will show the C^*-algebra has a universal property subject to certain operator relations of generators.

For a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$, consider the set of quadruplet of symbols

$$\Sigma_\kappa = \{\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma^\rho \times \Sigma^\eta \times \Sigma^\eta \times \Sigma^\rho \mid \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Each element of Σ_κ is regarded as a tile $\begin{tikzcd} \cdot \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \cdot \arrow[d, "b"] \\ \cdot \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & \cdot \end{tikzcd}$ of the associated two-dimensional subshift. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ and by $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ the C^*-algebras associated with the C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma^\rho)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \eta, \Sigma^\eta)$ respectively. Let $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $T_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ be the generating partial isometries of $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ and those of $\mathcal{O}_\eta$, which satisfy (1.2) and (1.3) respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ generated by elements $S_\alpha x S_\alpha^*, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, x \in \mathcal{A}$ and by $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ that of $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ generated by elements $T_a x T_a^*, a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}$ respectively. The endomorphisms $\rho_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $\eta_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ extend to $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and to $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ as $*$-homomorphisms $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\widehat{\eta}_a : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = S_\alpha^* w S_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \quad w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\eta}_a(z) = T_a^* z T_a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta. \tag{1.6}$$

They also extend to $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ and to $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ as endomorphisms $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta$ on $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho$ on $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ by

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z) = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(\widehat{\eta}_a(z)) T_b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \qquad z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha,b,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} S_\beta \eta_b(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) S_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, \qquad w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho. \tag{1.8}$$

For $\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa$, put a projection $E_\omega = \eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1))(= \rho_\beta(\eta_a(1)) \in \mathcal{A}$. The vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \mathbb{C}e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mathcal{A} \tag{1.9}$$

has a natural structure of a Hilbert C^*-right $\mathcal{A}$-module. In addition to the $\mathcal{A}$-module structure, $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ has multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules, a Hilbert C^*-bimodule structure over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and a Hilbert C^*-bimodule structure over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$. We call it Hilbert C^*-quad module over $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$. We will construct a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ in a concrete way from the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ by a two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of C^*-algebras from Hilbert C^*-bimodules. It is generated by two kinds of creation operators, the horizontal creation operators and the vertical creation operators, on two-dimensional analogue of Fock Hilbert module. Denote by $\iota_\rho : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\iota_\eta : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ natural embeddings. We assume that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative. The main result of the paper is the following theorem, which states that the algebraic structure of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is determined by the behavior of the $*$-homomorphisms $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha, \widehat{\eta}_a, \widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta$ and $\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho$.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.17)**.** *For a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is realized as the universal concrete C^*-algebra generated by the operators $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and partial isometries $\mathrm{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \mathrm{v}_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ subject to the relations:*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \mathrm{u}_\beta \mathrm{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} \mathrm{v}_b \mathrm{v}_b^* = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{u}_\alpha \mathrm{u}_\alpha^* w &= w \mathrm{u}_\alpha \mathrm{u}_\alpha^*, & \mathrm{v}_a \mathrm{v}_a^* w &= w \mathrm{v}_a \mathrm{v}_a^*, \\ \mathrm{u}_\alpha \mathrm{u}_\alpha^* z &= z \mathrm{u}_\alpha \mathrm{u}_\alpha^*, & \mathrm{v}_a \mathrm{v}_a^* z &= z \mathrm{v}_a \mathrm{v}_a^*, \\ \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) &= \mathrm{u}_\alpha^* w \mathrm{u}_\alpha, & \widehat{\eta}_a(z) &= \mathrm{v}_a^* z \mathrm{v}_a, \\ \widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z) &= \mathrm{u}_\alpha^* z \mathrm{u}_\alpha, & \widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w) &= \mathrm{v}_a^* w \mathrm{v}_a, \end{aligned}$$

$$\iota_\eta(y) = \iota_\rho(y)$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Thanks to the above theorem, simplicity condition of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ will be presented (Theorem 5.18).

Let A, B be two $N \times N$ matrices with entries in nonnegative integers. They yield directed graphs $G_A = (V, E_A)$ and $G_B = (V, E_B)$ with a common vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and edge sets E_A and E_B respectively, where the edge set E_A consist of $A(i,j)$-edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j and E_B consist of $B(i,j)$-edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j. We then have two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^A, E_A)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^B, E_B)$ with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathbb{C}^N$. Denote by $s(e), r(e)$ the source vertex and the range vertex of an edge e. Put

$$\Sigma^{AB} = \{(\alpha, b) \in E_A \times E_B \mid r(\alpha) = s(b)\},$$
$$\Sigma^{BA} = \{(a, \beta) \in E_B \times E_A \mid r(a) = s(\beta)\}.$$

Assume that the commutation relation

$$AB = BA \tag{1.10}$$

holds. We may take a bijection $\kappa : \Sigma^{AB} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{BA}$ such that $s(\alpha) = s(a), r(b) = r(\beta)$ for $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$ which we fix. This situation is called an LR-textile system introduced by Nasu ([25]). We then have a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}_N, \rho^A, \rho^B, E_A, E_B, \kappa)$. We set

$$\Omega_\kappa = \{(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B | s(\alpha) = s(a), \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A, b \in E_B\}$$

and define two $|\Omega_\kappa| \times |\Omega_\kappa|$-matrcies A_κ and B_κ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by

$$A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } \beta \in E_A \text{ such that } \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\delta, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$, and

$$B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } b \in E_B \text{ such that } \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\beta, d) \in \Omega_\kappa$ respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ the associated Hilbert C^*-quad module.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 7.10)**.** *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ defined by commuting matrices A, B and a specification κ is generated by two families of partial isometries $S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)}$ for $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$ satisfying the relations:*

$$\sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} S_{(\delta,b)} S^*_{(\delta,b)} + \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} T_{(\beta,d)} T^*_{(\beta,d)} = 1,$$
$$S^*_{(\alpha,a)} S_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b))(S_{(\delta,b)} S^*_{(\delta,b)} + T_{(\delta,b)} T^*_{(\delta,b)}),$$
$$T^*_{(\alpha,a)} T_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d))(S_{(\beta,d)} S^*_{(\beta,d)} + T_{(\beta,d)} T^*_{(\beta,d)})$$

for $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$. Hence the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is $*$-isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa}$ for the matrix $H_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa & A_\kappa \\ B_\kappa & B_\kappa \end{bmatrix}$.

The paper is organized as in the following way: In Section 2, we will state basic facts on the C^*-symbolic dynamical systems and the C^*-textile dynamical systems. In Section 3, we will introduce Hilbert C^*-quad modules from C^*-textile dynamical systems. In Section 4, we will introduce Fock Hilbert C^*-quad modules which are two-dimensional analogue of Fock Hilbert C^*-bimodules, and study creation operators on the Fock Hilbert C^*-quad modules. In Section 5, we will prove the main result stated as Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we will state a relationship between the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\kappa}_{\rho,\eta}$ so that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is realized as a C^*-subalgebra of the tensor product $\mathcal{O}^{\kappa}_{\rho,\eta} \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$ in a natural way. In Section 7, we will study the C^*-algebras arising from the Hilbert C^*-quad modules of the C^*-textile dynamical systems defined by commuting matrices and will prove Theorem 1.2.

Throughout the paper, we will denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of nonnegative integers and by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers.

2. C^*-SYMBOLIC DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND C^*-TEXTILE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

In this section, we will briefly state basic facts on C^*-symbolic dynamical systems and C^*-textile dynamical systems. Throughout the section, Σ denotes a finite set with its discrete topology, that is called an alphabet. Each element of Σ is called a symbol. Let $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the infinite product space $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma_i$, where $\Sigma_i = \Sigma$, endowed with the product topology. The transformation σ on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $\sigma((x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is called the full shift over Σ. Let Λ be a shift invariant closed subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ i.e. $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. The topological dynamical system $(\Lambda, \sigma|_\Lambda)$ is called a two-sided subshift, written as Λ for brevity. Finite directed graphs present a class of subshifts called shifts of finite type. More generally, finite directed labeled graphs present a class of subshifts called sofic shifts. The author has introduced a notion of λ-graph system as a generalization of finite labeled graphs. The λ-graph systems present all the subshifts. Furthermore, the author has introduced a notion of C^*-symbolic dynamical system which generalize λ-graph systems and automorphisms of unital C^*-algebras. C^*-symbolic dynamical systems may be presentation of subshifts to C^*-algebras.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra. In what follows, an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ means a $*$-endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ that does not necessarily preserve the unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is denoted by 1 unless we specify. Denote by $Z_{\mathcal{A}}$ the center of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\rho_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ be a finite family of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by symbols of a finite set Σ. We assume that $\rho_\alpha(Z_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset Z_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha \in \Sigma$. The family $\rho_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be essential if $\rho_\alpha(1) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha(1) \geq 1$. It is said to be faithful if for any nonzero $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a symbol $\alpha \in \Sigma$ such that $\rho_\alpha(x) \neq 0$. A *C^*-symbolic dynamical system* is a triplet $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ consisting of a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and an essential and faithful finite family $\{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. In [19], [21], [22], we have defined a C^*-symbolic dynamical system in a less restrictive way than the above definition. In stead of the above condition $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \rho_\alpha(1) \geq 1$ with $\rho_\alpha(Z_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset Z_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha \in \Sigma$, we have used the condition in the papers that the closed ideal generated by $\rho_\alpha(1), \alpha \in \Sigma$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}$. All of the examples appeared in the papers [19], [21], [22] satisfy the condition $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \rho_\alpha(1) \geq 1$ with $\rho_\alpha(Z_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset Z_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha \in \Sigma$, and all discussions in the papers well work under the new defition.

A C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ yields a subshift Λ_ρ over Σ such that a word $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$ of Σ is admissible for Λ_ρ if and only if $(\rho_{\alpha_k} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{\alpha_1})(1) \neq 0$ ([19, Proposition 2.1]). Denote by $B_k(\Lambda_\rho)$ the set of admissible words of Λ_ρ with length k. Put $B_*(\Lambda_\rho) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\Lambda_\rho)$, where $B_0(\Lambda_\rho)$ consists of the empty word. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ associated with $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma)$ has been originally constructed in [19] from an associated Hilbert C^*-bimodule (cf. [31], [11] etc.). It is realized as a universal C^*-algebra $C^*(x, S_\alpha; x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma)$ generated by $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and partial isometries $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ subject to the following relations called (ρ):

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1, \qquad x S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* x, \qquad S_\alpha^* x S_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma$. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ is a generalization of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ associated with the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ (cf. [17]).

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ be a *C^*-textile dynamical system.* It consists of two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma^\rho)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \eta, \Sigma^\eta)$ with common unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and commutation relations between their endomorphisms $\rho_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $\eta_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ through a bijection κ satisfying (1.1). Let $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $T_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ be the generating partial isometries of $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ and of $\mathcal{O}_\eta$, which satisy (1.2) and (1.3) respectively. We set two C^*-algebras

$$\mathcal{B}_\rho = C^*(S_\alpha x S_\alpha^* : \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, x \in \mathcal{A}), \qquad \mathcal{B}_\eta = C^*(T_a x T_a^* : a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

They are realized concretely as subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ and of $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ respectively. Both the algebras $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ contain the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ through the identification

$$x = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha \rho_\alpha(x) S_\alpha^* = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a \eta_a(x) T_a^*, \qquad x \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.1}$$

We put the projections

$$P_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(1) \text{ for } \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \qquad Q_a = \eta_a(1) \text{ for } a \in \Sigma^\eta.$$

Elements $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ are uniquely written as in the following way:

$$w = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha w_\alpha S_\alpha^* \text{ with } w_\alpha = P_\alpha w_\alpha P_\alpha \in \mathcal{A},\ \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \tag{2.2}$$

$$z = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a z_a T_a^* \text{ with } z_a = Q_a z_a Q_a \in \mathcal{A},\ a \in \Sigma^\eta. \tag{2.3}$$

Define an alphabet set Σ_κ as in (1.5). For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, we set

$$\alpha = t(\omega) \in \Sigma^\rho, \quad b = r(\omega) \in \Sigma^\eta, \quad a = l(\omega) \in \Sigma^\eta, \quad \beta = b(\omega) \in \Sigma^\rho,$$

which stand for: top, right, left, bottom respectively as in the following figure.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdot \arrow[r, "\alpha=t(\omega)"] \arrow[d, "a=l(\omega)"'] & \cdot \arrow[d, "b=r(\omega)"] \\
\cdot \arrow[r, "\beta=b(\omega)"'] & \cdot
\end{tikzcd}$$

Define $*$-homomorphisms $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $\widehat{\eta}_a : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for $a \in \Sigma^\eta$ by (1.6) which satisfy the equalities

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = P_\alpha w_\alpha P_\alpha \quad \text{ and } \quad \widehat{\eta}_a(z) = Q_a z_a Q_a$$

for $w = \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\beta w_\beta S_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ as in (2.2) and $z = \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} T_b z_b T_b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in (2.3). Their restrictions to $\mathcal{A}$ coincide with ρ_α and η_a respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *Keep the above notations.*

(i) *For* $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ *and* $z = \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} T_b z_b T_b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ *as in* (2.3), *put*

$$\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(z) = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(z_a) T_b^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta. \tag{2.4}$$

Then $\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ *is a* $*$*-homomorphism such that* $\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(y) = \rho_\alpha(y)$ *for* $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) *For* $a \in \Sigma^\eta$ *and* $w = \sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} S_\beta w_\beta S_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ *as in* (2.2), *put*

$$\widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(w) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha,b,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} S_\beta \eta_b(w_\alpha) S_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho. \tag{2.5}$$

Then $\widehat{\eta}^\rho_a : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho$ *is a* $*$*-homomorphism such that* $\widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(y) = \eta_a(y)$ *for* $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (i) Since $z_a = \widehat{\eta}_a(z)$, the equality (2.4) goes to

$$\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(z) = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(\widehat{\eta}_a(z)) T_b^*$$

as in (1.7). It is easy to see that $\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ yields a $*$-homomorphism. If in particular $z = y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $y = \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} T_b \eta_b(y) T_b^*$ so that

$$\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(y) = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(\eta_a(y)) T_b^* = \sum_{\substack{a,b,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \eta_b(\rho_\alpha(y)) T_b^* = \rho_\alpha(y).$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

The commutation relations (1.1) on $\mathcal{A}$ extend to $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and to $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For* $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, *we have*

(i) $\eta_b \circ \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = \widehat{\rho}_\beta \circ \widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(w)$ *for* $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$.

(ii) $\rho_\beta \circ \widehat{\eta}_a(z) = \widehat{\eta}_b \circ \widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(z)$ *for* $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Proof. (i) For $w = \sum_{\alpha'\in\Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha'} S_{\alpha'}^*$ as in (2.2), we have $S_\beta^* \widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(w) S_\beta = S_\beta^* S_\beta \eta_b(w_\alpha) S_\beta^* S_\beta$ so that by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\rho}_\beta \circ \widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(w) &= P_\beta \eta_b(w_\alpha) P_\beta \\
&= \rho_\beta(1)\eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1))\eta_b(w_\alpha)\eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1))\rho_\beta(1) \\
&= \rho_\beta(\eta_a(1))\eta_b(w_\alpha)\rho_\beta(\eta_a(1)) \\
&= \eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1) w_\alpha \rho_\alpha(1)) = \eta_b(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

3. HILBERT C^*-QUAD MODULES FROM C^*-TEXTILE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

We fix a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$. For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, we put a projection

$$E_\omega = \eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1)) (= \rho_\beta(\eta_a(1)) \in Z_{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $e_\omega, \omega \in \Sigma_\kappa$ denote the orthogonal basis of the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{|\Sigma_\kappa|}$ where $|\Sigma_\kappa|$ means the cardinal number of the finite set Σ_κ. Define the vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \mathbb{C}e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mathcal{A} \tag{3.2}$$

which is naturally isomorphic to the vector space $\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} E_\omega\mathcal{A}$.

We first endow $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with right $\mathcal{A}$-module structure and $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product as follows: For $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega, \xi' = \sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'}$ with $x_\omega, x'_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) &:= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega y \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \\
\langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} &:= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} x_\omega^* E_\omega x'_\omega \in \mathcal{A}
\end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the relations:

$$\langle \xi \mid \xi'\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot y, \qquad \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}^* = \langle \xi' \mid \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

We will further endow $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with two other Hilbert C^*-bimodule structure. Such a system will be called a Hilbert C^*-quad module. Let $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega, \xi' = \sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega, x'_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{A}$, and $w = \sum_{\alpha'\in\Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha'} S^*_{\alpha'} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ as in (2.2), $z = \sum_{a'\in\Sigma^\eta} T_{a'} z_{a'} T^*_{a'} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in (2.3). We define

1. The right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action φ_ρ and the right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action φ_η:

$$\xi\varphi_\rho(w) := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega w_{b(\omega)}, \qquad \xi\varphi_\eta(z) := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega z_{r(\omega)}.$$

2. The left $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action ϕ_ρ and the left $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action ϕ_η:

$$\phi_\rho(w)\xi := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_{t(\omega)}) x_\omega, \qquad \phi_\eta(z)\xi := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \rho_{b(\omega)}(z_{l(\omega)}) x_\omega.$$

3. The right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_\rho$ and the right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle_\eta$:

$$\langle\xi|\xi'\rangle_\rho := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} x_\omega^* E_\omega x'_\omega S^*_{b(\omega)}, \qquad \langle\xi|\xi'\rangle_\eta := \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} T_{r(\omega)} x_\omega^* E_\omega x'_\omega T^*_{r(\omega)}.$$

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.1. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $w, w' \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, $z, z' \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\xi\varphi_\rho(w))\varphi_\rho(w') = \xi\varphi_\rho(ww'), && (\xi\varphi_\eta(z))\varphi_\eta(z') = \xi\varphi_\eta(zz'), \\
&\phi_\rho(w)(\phi_\rho(w')\xi) = \phi_\rho(ww')\xi, && \phi_\eta(z)(\phi_\eta(z')\xi) = \phi_\eta(zz')\xi, \\
&\phi_\rho(w)(\xi\varphi_\rho(w')) = (\phi_\rho(w)\xi)\varphi_\rho(w'), && \phi_\eta(z)(\xi\varphi_\eta(z')) = (\phi_\eta(z)\xi)\varphi_\eta(z').
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \xi \mid \xi'\varphi_\rho(w)\rangle_\rho = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho \cdot w, && \langle \xi \mid \xi'\varphi_\eta(z)\rangle_\eta = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta \cdot z, \\
&\langle \xi\varphi_\rho(w) \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho = w^* \cdot \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho, && \langle \xi\varphi_\eta(z) \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta = z^* \cdot \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta, \\
&\langle \phi_\rho(w)\xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho = \langle \xi \mid \phi_\rho(w^*)\xi' \rangle_\rho, && \langle \phi_\eta(z)\xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta = \langle \xi \mid \phi_\eta(z^*)\xi' \rangle_\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will show the equalities

$$\langle \xi \mid \xi' \varphi_\rho(w) \rangle_\rho = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho \cdot w, \qquad \langle \phi_\rho(w)\xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho = \langle \xi \mid \phi_\rho(w^*)\xi' \rangle_\rho .$$

For $\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega, \xi' = \sum_{\omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega, x'_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{A}$, and $w = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^\eta} S_\gamma w_\gamma S_\gamma^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ as in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi \mid \xi' \varphi_\rho(w) \rangle_\rho &= \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \mid e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'} w_{b(\omega')} \rangle_\rho \\
&= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} x_\omega^* E_\omega x'_\omega w_{b(\omega)} S_{b(\omega)}^* \\
&= (\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} x_\omega^* E_\omega x'_\omega S_{b(\omega)}^*) \cdot (\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\gamma w_\gamma S_\gamma^*) \\
&= \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho \cdot w.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_\rho(w)\xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho &= \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^\rho} \langle \phi_\rho(S_\gamma w_\gamma S_\gamma^*)(e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega) \mid e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'} \rangle_\rho \\
&= \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_{t(\omega)}) x_\omega \mid e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'} \rangle_\rho \\
&= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} x_\omega^* E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_{t(\omega)}^*) x'_\omega S_{b(\omega)}^* \\
&= \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \mid e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} \eta_{r(\omega')}(w_{t(\omega')}^*) x'_{\omega'} \rangle_\rho \\
&= \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa} \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^\rho} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \mid \phi_\rho(S_\gamma w_\gamma^* S_\gamma^*)(e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x'_{\omega'}) \rangle_\rho \\
&= \langle \xi \mid \phi_\rho(w^*)\xi' \rangle_\rho .
\end{aligned}$$

The three equalities of the right hand side are similarly shown to the above equalities. ☐

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\rho(w^*) = \phi_\rho(w)^* &: \text{the adjoint with respect to the inner product } \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho, \\
\phi_\eta(z^*) = \phi_\eta(z)^* &: \text{the adjoint with respect to the inner product } \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta .
\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is direct and shows that the two module structure are compatible to each other.

Lemma 3.3. *For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have*

(i) $(\phi_\rho(w)\xi)\varphi_\eta(z) = \phi_\rho(w)(\xi\varphi_\eta(z))$.
(ii) $(\phi_\eta(z)\xi)\varphi_\rho(w) = \phi_\eta(z)(\xi\varphi_\rho(w))$.

Then we have the following proposition

Proposition 3.4. *Keep the above notations.*

(i) *$(\mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\rho)$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module with right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho$ and left $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action by ϕ_ρ. Hence $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a Hilbert C^*-bimodule over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$.*
(ii) *$(\mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\eta)$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module with right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta$ and left $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action by ϕ_η. Hence $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a Hilbert C^*-bimodule over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$.*

Therefore $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ has multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules, which are compatible to each other.

$\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is originally a Hilbert C^*-right module over $\mathcal{A}$, which is also compatible to the two left actions ϕ_ρ of $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and ϕ_η of $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in the following lemma. Its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.5. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

(i) $\phi_\rho(w)(\xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)) = (\phi_\rho(w)\xi)\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)$ *for* $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$.
(ii) $\phi_\eta(z)(\xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)) = (\phi_\eta(z)\xi)\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)$ *for* $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Hence both $\phi_\eta(z)$ and $\phi_\rho(w)$ are right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps.

Define positive maps $\psi_\rho : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\psi_\eta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ by

$$\psi_\rho(y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha y S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, \qquad \psi_\eta(y) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a y T_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \tag{3.3}$$

for $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.6. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

(i) $\xi\varphi_\rho(w\psi_\rho(y)) = (\xi\varphi_\rho(w))\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)$ *for* $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$.
(ii) $\xi\varphi_\eta(z\psi_\eta(y)) = (\xi\varphi_\eta(z))\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)$ *for* $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Hence we have

$$\xi\varphi_\rho(\psi_\rho(y)) = \xi\varphi_\eta(\psi_\eta(y)) = \xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y).$$

Proof. (i) For $\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, and $w = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha'} S_{\alpha'}^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ as in (2.2), we have

$$w\psi_\rho(y) = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha'} S_{\alpha'}^* \sum_{\beta' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\beta'} y S_{\beta'}^* = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha'} y S_{\alpha'}^*$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi\varphi_\rho(w\psi_\rho(y)) &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega w_{b(\omega)} y \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} [(e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega)\varphi_\rho(w)]\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = [\xi\varphi_\rho(w)]\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) is similar to (i). ☐

Lemma 3.7. *For $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have $\phi_\rho(y)\xi = \phi_l(y)\xi$.*

Proof. Since the identities $y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha \rho_\alpha(y) S_\alpha^* = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a \eta_a(y) T_a^*$ hold, we have for $\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\phi_\rho(y)\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(\rho_{t(\omega)}(y)) x_\omega.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\phi_\eta(y)\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \rho_{b(\omega)}(\eta_{l(\omega)}(y)) x_\omega.$$

As $\eta_{r(\omega)}(\rho_{t(\omega)}(y)) = \rho_{b(\omega)}(\eta_{l(\omega)}(y))$, we obtain the desired equality. ☐

By the above lemma, we may define the left action ϕ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ by

$$\phi(y)\xi := \phi_\rho(y)\xi = \phi_l(y)\xi, \qquad y \in \mathcal{A},\ \xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$$

so that $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ has a structure of a Hilbert C^*-bimodule over $\mathcal{A}$. We note the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *If the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, we have*

$$\phi_\rho(w)\phi_\eta(z) = \phi_\eta(z)\phi_\rho(w), \qquad w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta.$$

Proof. For $w = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha w_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ as in (2.2), $z = \sum_{a'\in\Sigma^\eta} T_a z_a T_a^*$ as in (2.3) and $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\rho(w)\phi_\eta(z)\xi &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_{t(\omega)})\rho_{b(\omega)}(z_{l(\omega)})x_\omega \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \rho_{b(\omega)}(z_{l(\omega)})\eta_{r(\omega)}(w_{t(\omega)})x_\omega \\ &= \phi_\eta(z)\phi_\rho(w)\xi.\end{aligned}$$

□

Put for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $a \in \Sigma^\eta$

$$u_\alpha = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \qquad v_a = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,a=l(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa.$$

Lemma 3.9. *Keep the above notations.*

(i) *$\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho}$ forms an essential orthogonal finite basis of $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with respect to the $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_\eta$ as right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module through φ_η.*

(ii) *$\{v_a\}_{a\in\Sigma^\eta}$ forms an essential orthogonal finite basis of $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with respect to the $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_\rho$ as right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module through φ_ρ.*

Proof. (i) For $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_\alpha \mid u_\beta\rangle_\eta &= \langle \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mid \sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa,\beta=t(\omega')} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'}\rangle_\eta \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mid e_\omega \otimes E_\omega\rangle_\eta & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} \langle e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \mid e_\omega \otimes E_\omega\rangle_\eta &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)} E_\omega T_{r(\omega)}^* \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)}^* \rho_\alpha(1) T_{r(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)}^* = P_\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

we see

$$\langle u_\alpha \mid u_\beta\rangle_\eta = \begin{cases} P_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha\rangle_\eta = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} P_\alpha \geq 1.$$

For $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)} E_\omega x_\omega T^*_{r(\omega)}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta) &= (\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega)\varphi_\eta(\sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega')} T_{r(\omega')} E_{\omega'} x_{\omega'} T^*_{r(\omega')}) \\ &= \sum_{\omega,\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)=t(\omega')} (e_\omega \otimes E_\omega)\varphi_\eta(T_{r(\omega')} E_{\omega'} x_{\omega'} T^*_{r(\omega')}) \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega = \sum_{\omega\in\mathcal{H}_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega = \xi.$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

As $\langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha\rangle_\eta = P_\alpha$ and $\langle v_a \mid v_a\rangle_\rho = Q_a$, we note that the equality

$$\eta_b(\langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha\rangle_\eta) = \rho_\beta(\langle v_a \mid v_a\rangle_\rho) = E_\omega$$

holds for $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$.

Lemma 3.10. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

(i) *$\phi(y)u_\alpha = u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))$ and hence $\rho_\alpha(y) = \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta$.*
(ii) *$\phi(y)v_a = v_a\varphi_\rho(\eta_a(y))$ and hence $\eta_a(y) = \langle v_a \mid \phi(y)v_a\rangle_\rho$.*

Therefore the commutation relations (1.1) *are rephrased as the equality*

$$\langle v_b \mid \phi(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta)v_b\rangle_\rho = \langle u_\beta \mid \phi(\langle v_a \mid \phi(y)v_a\rangle_\rho)u_\beta\rangle_\eta \tag{3.4}$$

for $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (i) It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y)u_\alpha = \phi_\eta(y)u_\alpha &= \phi_\eta(\sum_{a'\in\Sigma^\eta} T_{a'}\eta_a(y)T^*_{a'})(\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \rho_{b(\omega)}(\eta_{l(\omega)}(y)) \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(\rho_\alpha(y)) \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa,\alpha=t(\omega)} [(e_\omega \otimes E_\omega)\varphi_\eta(\sum_{b'\in\Sigma^\eta} T_{b'}\eta_{b'}(\rho_\alpha(y))T^*_{b'})] \\ &= u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y)). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta = \langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))\rangle_\eta = \langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha\rangle_\eta \cdot \rho_\alpha(y) = \rho_\alpha(y).$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

More generally we have

Lemma 3.11. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, $a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*

(i) $\phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha = u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta), \quad \phi_\rho(w)v_a = v_a\varphi_\rho(\langle v_a \mid \phi_\rho(w)v_a\rangle_\rho)$.
(ii) $\phi_\eta(z)u_\alpha = u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta), \quad \phi_\eta(z)v_a = v_a\varphi_\rho(\langle v_a \mid \phi_\eta(z)v_a\rangle_\rho)$.

Proof. (i) For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have $\xi = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} u_{\alpha'}\varphi_\eta(\langle u_{\alpha'} \mid \xi\rangle_\eta)$. For $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, we have $\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_{\alpha'}\rangle_\eta = 0$ so that

$$\phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} u_{\alpha'}\varphi_\eta(\langle u_{\alpha'} \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta) = u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta).$$

Similarly for $a \neq a'$, we have $\langle v_{a'} \mid \phi_\rho(w)v_a\rangle_\rho = 0$ so that

$$\phi_\rho(w)v_a = \sum_{a' \in \Sigma^\eta} v_{a'}\varphi_\rho(\langle v'_a \mid \phi_\rho(w)v_a\rangle_\rho) = v_a\varphi_\rho(\langle v_a \mid \phi_\rho(w)v_a\rangle_\rho).$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

The following lemma states that the $*$-homomorphisms $\hat{\rho}_\alpha, \hat{\eta}_a^\rho$ on $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\hat{\eta}_a, \hat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta$ on $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ are given by inner products.

Lemma 3.12. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, $a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*
(i) $\hat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta, \quad \hat{\eta}_a(z) = \langle v_a \mid \phi_\eta(z)v_a\rangle_\rho$.
(ii) $\hat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z) = \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta, \quad \hat{\eta}_a^\rho(w) = \langle v_a \mid \phi_\rho(w)v_a\rangle_\rho$.

Proof. (i) For $w = \sum_{\alpha' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'}w_{\alpha'}S_{\alpha'}^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ as in (2.2), we have

$$\phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_\alpha)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta &= \langle \sum_{\omega' \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega') = \alpha} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} \mid \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_\alpha)\rangle_\eta \\
&= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} T_{r(\omega)}E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(w_\alpha)T_{r(\omega)}^* \\
&= \sum_{\substack{b \\ (\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^{\rho\eta}}} T_bT_b^* w_\alpha T_bT_b^* \\
&= \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} T_bT_b^* S_\alpha^* S_\alpha w_\alpha S_\alpha^* S_\alpha T_b T_b^* = P_\alpha w_\alpha P_\alpha = \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w).
\end{aligned}$$

The other equality for $\widehat{\eta}_a(z)$ is similarly shown to the above equalities.
(ii) For $z = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a z_a T_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in (2.3), we have

$$\phi_\eta(z)u_\alpha = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \rho_{b(\omega)}(z_{l(\omega)})$$

so that

$$\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z)u_\alpha\rangle_\eta = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} T_{r(\omega)}\rho_{b(\omega)}(z_{l(\omega)})T_{r(\omega)}^* = \hat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z).$$

The other equality for $\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w)$ is similarly shown to the above equalities. □

We will next study the norms on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ induced by the two inner products $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_\eta$ and $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_\rho$

Lemma 3.13. *For $\xi = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*
(i) $\|\langle \xi \mid \xi\rangle_\rho\| = \max_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} \|\sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} x_{a,\beta}^* x_{a,\beta}\|$,

(ii) $\|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\eta\| = \max_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} \|\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} x^*_{\alpha,b} x_{\alpha,b}\|$,
where $E_\omega x_\omega = x_{\alpha,b} = x_{a,\beta}$ *for* $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho\| &= \|\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} x^*_\omega E_\omega x_\omega S^*_{b(\omega)}\| \\
&= \|\sum_{(a,\beta)\in\Sigma^{\eta\rho}} S_\beta x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta} S^*_\beta\| \\
&= \|\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} S_\beta (\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}) S^*_\beta\| \\
&= \max_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \|S_\beta (\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}) S^*_\beta\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_{a,\beta} = x_{a,\beta} P_\beta$, we have for $\beta \in \Sigma^\rho$

$$\|S_\beta (\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}) S^*_\beta\| \le \|\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}\| \le \|\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} P_\beta x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta} P_\beta\|$$

one has

$$\|S_\beta (\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}) S^*_\beta\| = \|\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}\|.$$

Therefore we have

$$\|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho\| = \max_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \|\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}\|.$$

(ii) is similar to (i). $\square$

Define positive maps $\lambda_\rho : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda_\eta : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\lambda_\rho(w) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w), \quad w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, \qquad \lambda_\eta(z) = \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \widehat{\eta}_a(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta. \tag{3.5}$$

Then we have for $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$

$$\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \lambda_\rho(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_\rho) = \lambda_\eta(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_\eta). \tag{3.6}$$

Put $C_\rho = \|\lambda_\rho(1)\|, C_\eta = \|\lambda_\eta(1)\|$. As $\lambda_\rho(1) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \rho_\alpha(1) \ge 1$, one sees $C_\rho \ge 1$ and similarly $C_\eta \ge 1$. Define the three norms for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\xi\|_\rho = \|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\xi\|_\eta = \|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\eta\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.14. *The following inequalities hold for* $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$*:*

$$\|\xi\|_\rho \le \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} \le C_\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \|\xi\|_\eta \le \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} \le C_\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_\eta.$$

Hence the three norms $\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\|\xi\|_\eta$, $\|\xi\|_\eta$ *are equivalent to each other.*

Proof. For $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, where $E_\omega x_\omega = x_{\alpha,b} = x_{a,\beta}$ for $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, we have

$$\|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho\| = \max_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \|\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}\|.$$

We then have

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} x^*_\omega x_\omega\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x^*_{a,\beta} x_{a,\beta}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\| \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x_{a,\beta}^* x_{a,\beta} \| \le \| \sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} x_{a,\beta}^* x_{a,\beta} \|,$$

we have

$$\| \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho \| \le \| \xi \|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

On the other hand, by the equality $\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \lambda_\rho(\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho)$, we have

$$\| \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \| \le \| \lambda_\rho \| \| \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho \| = \| \lambda_\rho(1) \| \| \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho \| = C_\rho \| \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle_\rho \|.$$

Therefore we have

$$\|\xi\|_\rho \le \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} \le C_\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_\rho \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \|\xi\|_\eta \le \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}} \le C_\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi\|_\eta.$$

□

4. Fock Hilbert C^*-quad modules and creation operators

In this section, we will consider relative tensor products of Hilbert C^*-quad modules and introduce Fock space of Hilbert C^*-quad modules which is two-dimensional analogue of Fock space of Hilbert C^*-bimodules. The Hilbert C^*-module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is originally a Hilbert C^*-right module $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}})$

over $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$. It has two other multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules. The Hilbert C^*-bimodule $(\phi_\rho, \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\rho)$ over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and the Hilbert C^*-bimodule $(\phi_\eta, \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\eta)$ over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$. This situation is written as in the figure:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{B}_\rho \arrow[d, "\phi_\rho"'] & \\
\mathcal{B}_\eta \arrow[r, "\phi_\eta"] & \mathcal{H}_\kappa & \mathcal{B}_\eta \arrow[l, "\varphi_\eta"'] \\
 & \mathcal{B}_\rho \arrow[u, "\varphi_\rho"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

There exist faithful completely positive maps $\lambda_\rho : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda_\eta : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying (3.6) so that the three norms induced by their respect inner proucts $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta$ are equivalent to each other. The Hilbert C^*-right module $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}})$ over $\mathcal{A}$ with multi structure of Hilbert C^*-bimodules $(\phi_\rho, \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\rho)$ over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $(\phi_\eta, \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \varphi_\eta)$ over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ is called a *Hilbert C^*-quad module over* $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$. We will define two kinds of relative tensor products

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \qquad \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa$$

as Hilbert C^*-quad modules over $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$. The latter one should be written vertically as

$$\begin{matrix} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \\ \otimes_\rho \\ \mathcal{H}_\kappa \end{matrix}$$

rather than horizontally $\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa$. The first relative tensor product is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa := \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_\eta} \mathcal{H}_\kappa$$

the relative tensor product as Hilbert C^*-modules over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$, the left $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module through φ_η and the right $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a left $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module through ϕ_η. It has a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner product and a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner product defined by

$$\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta \mid \xi' \otimes_\eta \zeta' \rangle_\rho := \langle \zeta \mid \phi_\eta(\langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta)\zeta' \rangle_\rho,$$
$$\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta \mid \xi' \otimes_\eta \zeta' \rangle_\eta := \langle \zeta \mid \phi_\eta(\langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta)\zeta' \rangle_\eta$$

respectively. It has two right actions, $\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\rho$ from $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_\eta$ from $\mathcal{B}_\eta$. It also has two left actions, $\phi_\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}$ from $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\phi_\eta \otimes \mathrm{id}$ from $\mathcal{B}_\eta$. By these operations $\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a Hilbert C^*-bimodule over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and also is a Hilbert C^*-bimodule over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$. It also has a right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product defined by

$$\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta \mid \xi' \otimes_\eta \zeta' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \lambda_\eta(\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta \mid \xi' \otimes_\eta \zeta' \rangle_\eta)(= \lambda_\rho(\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta \mid \xi' \otimes_\eta \zeta' \rangle_\rho))$$

and a right $\mathcal{A}$-action $\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and a left $\mathcal{A}$-action $\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}$. By these structure $\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a Hilbert C^*-quad module over $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{B}_\rho \arrow[d, "\phi_\rho\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & \\
\mathcal{B}_\eta \arrow[r, "\phi_\eta\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa & \mathcal{B}_\eta \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_\eta"'] \\
& \mathcal{B}_\rho \arrow[u, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_\rho"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote the above operations $\phi_\rho\otimes\mathrm{id}, \phi_\eta\otimes\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_\rho, \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_\eta$ still by $\phi_\rho, \phi_\eta, \varphi_\rho, \varphi_\eta$ respectively. Similarly we consider the other relative tensor product defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa := \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_\rho} \mathcal{H}_\kappa$$

the relative tensor product as Hilbert C^*-modules over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$, the left $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module through φ_ρ and the right $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a left $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module through ϕ_ρ. By symmetrically to the above, $\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is a Hilbert C^*-quad module over $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$. The following lemma is routine.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_\kappa, i = 1, 2, 3$. The correspondences*

$$(\xi_1 \otimes_\eta \xi_2) \otimes_\rho \xi_3 \in (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_2) \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_3 \longrightarrow \xi_1 \otimes_\eta (\xi_2 \otimes_\rho \xi_3) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\eta (\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_3),$$
$$(\xi_1 \otimes_\rho \xi_2) \otimes_\eta \xi_3 \in (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_2) \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_3 \longrightarrow \xi_1 \otimes_\rho (\xi_2 \otimes_\eta \xi_3) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\rho (\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_3)$$

yield isomorphisms of Hilbert C^*-quad modules respectively.

We write the isomorphism class of the former Hilbert C^*-quad modules as $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_3$ and that of the latter ones as $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_3$ respectively.

We note that the direct sum $\mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$ has a structure of a Hilbert C^*-quad module by the following operations: For $b_1 \oplus b_2, b_1' \oplus b_2' \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$(b_1 \oplus b_2)\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) := b_1\psi_\eta(y) \oplus b_2\psi_\rho(y) \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho,$$
$$\langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid b_1' \oplus b_2' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \lambda_\eta(b_1^* b_1') + \lambda_\rho(b_2^* b_2') \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is direct to see

$$\langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid (b_1' \oplus b_2')\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid b_1' \oplus b_2' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot y$$

so that $\mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$ is a Hilbert C^*-right module over $\mathcal{A}$. Its Hilbert C^*-bimodule structure over $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and over $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ are defined as follows: For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, set

1. The right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action φ_ρ and the right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action φ_η:

$$(b_1 \oplus b_2)\varphi_\rho(w) = b_2 w, \qquad (b_1 \oplus b_2)\varphi_\eta(z) = b_1 z.$$

2. The left $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action ϕ_ρ and the left $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action ϕ_η:

$$\phi_\rho(w)(b_1 \oplus b_2) = w b_2, \qquad \phi_\eta(z)(b_1 \oplus b_2) = z b_1.$$

3. The right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\rho$ and the right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\eta$:

$$\langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid b_1' \oplus b_2' \rangle_\rho = b_1^* b_1' \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, \qquad \langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid b_1' \oplus b_2' \rangle_\eta = b_2^* b_2' \in \mathcal{B}_\eta.$$

Let us define the Fock Hilbert C^*-quad module as a two-dimensional analogue of the Fock space of Hilbert C^*-bimodules. Put $\Gamma_n = \{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)) \mid \pi_i = \eta, \rho\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(\kappa) &= \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho, \qquad F_1(\kappa) = \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \\
F_2(\kappa) &= (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa), \\
F_3(\kappa) &= (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa) \\
&\quad \oplus (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa), \\
&\cdots\cdots \\
F_n(\kappa) &= \oplus_{(\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_1} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \\
&\cdots\cdots
\end{aligned}$$

as Hilbert C^*-quad modules. We will define the Fock Hilbert C^*-quad module F_κ by setting

$$F_\kappa := \overline{\oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n(\kappa)}$$

which is the completion of the algebraic direct sum $\oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n(\kappa)$ of the Hilbert C^*-quad modules under the norm $\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n(\kappa)$ induced by the $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $F_n(\kappa)$. The Hilbert C^*-quad modules $F_n(\kappa), n = 0, 1, \dots$ and hence the algebraic direct sum $\oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n(\kappa)$ have also both $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-valued inner products and $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-valued inner products. As in Lemma 3.14, both of the two norms $\|\xi\|_\rho$ and $\|\xi\|_\eta$ induced by the inner products are equivalent to the norm $\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{A}}$.

For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ we define operators s_ξ and t_ξ from $F_0(\kappa)$ to $F_1(\kappa)$ by

$$s_\xi(b_1 \oplus b_2) = \xi\varphi_\eta(b_1), \qquad t_\xi(b_1 \oplus b_2) = \xi\varphi_\rho(b_2)$$

for $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$.

Lemma 4.2.

(i) *s_ξ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module map from $F_0(\kappa)$ to $F_1(\kappa)$.*

(ii) *t_ξ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module map from $F_0(\kappa)$ to $F_1(\kappa)$.*

(iii) *Both the maps $s_\xi, t_\xi : F_0(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_1(\kappa)$ are right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps.*

Proof. For $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have

$$s_\xi((b_1 \oplus b_2)\varphi_\eta(z)) = s_\xi(b_1 z) = \xi\varphi_\eta(b_1 z) = (s_\xi(b_1 \oplus b_2))\varphi_\eta(z).$$

Hence s_ξ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module map and similarly t_ξ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module map. For $y \in \mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$s_\xi((b_1 \oplus b_2)\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y)) = \xi\varphi_\eta(b_1\psi_\eta(y)) = (\xi\varphi_\eta(b_1))\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = (s_\xi(b_1 \oplus b_2))\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y).$$

Hence s_ξ and similarly t_ξ are right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps. $\square$

For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, denote by $s_\xi^*, t_\xi^* : F_1(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_0(\kappa)$ the adjoints of $s_\xi, t_\xi : F_0(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_1(\kappa)$ with respect to the right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner products.

Lemma 4.3. *For $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have*

(i) $s_\xi^* \xi' = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta \oplus 0$ *in* $\mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$.
(ii) $t_\xi^* \xi' = 0 \oplus \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\rho$ *in* $\mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$.

Proof. For $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid s_\xi^* \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} &= \langle \xi \varphi_\eta(b_1) \mid \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \lambda_\eta(b_1^* \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta) \\ &= \lambda_\eta(\langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 \rangle_\eta) \\ &= \langle b_1 \oplus b_2 \mid \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\end{aligned}$$

so that $s_\xi^* \xi' = \langle \xi \mid \xi' \rangle_\eta \oplus 0$.

(ii) is similar to (i). □

For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa)$ with $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$, set

$$s_\xi(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = \xi \otimes_\eta \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n, \tag{4.1}$$

$$t_\xi(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = \xi \otimes_\rho \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n. \tag{4.2}$$

The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 4.4. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots,$ we have*

(i) s_ξ *is a right* $\mathcal{B}_\eta$*-module map from* $F_n(\kappa)$ *to* $F_{n+1}(\kappa)$.
(ii) t_ξ *is a right* $\mathcal{B}_\rho$*-module map from* $F_n(\kappa)$ *to* $F_{n+1}(\kappa)$.
(iii) *Both the maps* $s_\xi, t_\xi : F_n(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_{n+1}(\kappa)$ *are right* $\mathcal{A}$*-module maps.*

Denote by $s_\xi^*, t_\xi^* : F_{n+1}(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_n(\kappa)$ the adjoints of $s_\xi, t_\xi : F_n(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_{n+1}(\kappa)$ with respect to the right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner products.

Lemma 4.5. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} \in F_{n+1}(\kappa)$, we have*

(i) $s_\xi^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \phi_\eta(\langle \xi \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho, \end{cases}$

(ii) $t_\xi^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \phi_\rho(\langle \xi \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\rho) \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \end{cases}$

Proof. (i) Let $\gamma = \eta$ or ρ. For $\zeta_1 \otimes_{\theta_1} \zeta_2 \otimes_{\theta_2} \cdots \otimes_{\theta_{n-1}} \zeta_n \in F_n(\kappa)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \zeta_1 \otimes_{\theta_1} \zeta_2 \otimes_{\theta_2} \cdots \otimes_{\theta_{n-1}} \zeta_n \mid s_\xi^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1}) \rangle_\gamma \\ =&\langle s_\xi(\zeta_1 \otimes_{\theta_1} \zeta_2 \otimes_{\theta_2} \cdots \otimes_{\theta_{n-1}} \zeta_n) \mid \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} \rangle_\gamma \\ =&\langle \xi \otimes_\eta \zeta_1 \otimes_{\theta_1} \zeta_2 \otimes_{\theta_2} \cdots \otimes_{\theta_{n-1}} \zeta_n) \mid \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} \rangle_\gamma \\ =&\begin{cases} \langle \zeta_1 \otimes_{\theta_1} \zeta_2 \otimes_{\theta_2} \cdots \otimes_{\theta_{n-1}} \zeta_n) \mid \phi_\eta(\langle \xi \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \xi_{n+1} \rangle_\gamma & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the desired formulae hold with respect to the inner products $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho$ and hence to the $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ because of the equality

$$\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \lambda_\eta(\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta) = \lambda_\rho(\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho).$$

□

We have shown in the proof of the above lemma that the adjoints of $s_\xi, t_\xi : F_n(\kappa) \longrightarrow F_{n+1}(\kappa)$ with respect to the other two inner products $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\eta, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_\rho$ have the same fom as above. We denote by $\bar{\varphi}_\rho, \bar{\varphi}_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\rho, \bar{\phi}_\eta$ the right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action, the right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action, the left $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-action, the left $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-action on $F_n(\kappa)$ and hence on F_κ respectively. The left actions $\bar{\phi}_\rho$ of $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ of $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ satisfy the following equalities

$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)(b_1 \oplus b_2) = wb_2, \qquad \bar{\phi}_\eta(z)(b_1 \oplus b_2) = zb_1,$$
$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = (\phi_\rho(w)\xi_1) \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n),$$
$$\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = (\phi_\eta(z)\xi_1) \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n)$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa)$. The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 4.6. *For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have for $n = 0, 1, \dots$*

- (i) *$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\rho$-module map from $F_n(\kappa)$ to $F_n(\kappa)$.*
- (ii) *$\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ is a right $\mathcal{B}_\eta$-module map from $F_n(\kappa)$ to $F_n(\kappa)$.*
- (iii) *Both the maps $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w), \bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ are right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps on F_κ.*

Lemma 4.7. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*

- (i) *$t_{\xi\varphi_\rho(w)} = t_\xi \bar{\phi}_\rho(w)$ and hence $t_\xi = \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_{v_a} \bar{\phi}_\rho(\langle v_a \mid \xi \rangle_\rho)$.*
- (ii) *$s_{\xi\varphi_\eta(z)} = s_\xi \bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ and hence $s_\xi = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_{u_\alpha} \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)$.*

Proof. (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\xi\varphi_\rho(w)}(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) &= \xi\varphi_\rho(w) \otimes_\rho \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \\ &= \xi \otimes_\rho (\phi_\rho(w)\xi_1) \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \\ &= (t_\xi \bar{\phi}_\rho(w))\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

By Lemma 3.6, we have $\varphi_\eta(\psi_\eta(y)) = \varphi_\rho(\psi_\rho(y)) = \varphi_\mathcal{A}(y)$ for $y \in \mathcal{A}$, the above lemma implies the equalities:

$$t_{\xi\varphi_\mathcal{A}(y)} = t_\xi \bar{\phi}_\rho(\psi_\rho(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad s_{\xi\varphi_\mathcal{A}(y)} = s_\xi \bar{\phi}_\eta(\psi_\eta(y)) \quad \text{for } y \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.3}$$

The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 4.8. *For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we have*

- (i) *$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)s_\xi = s_{\phi_\rho(w)\xi}$ and $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)t_\xi = t_{\phi_\rho(w)\xi}$.*
- (ii) *$\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)s_\xi = s_{\phi_\eta(z)\xi}$ and $\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)t_\xi = t_{\phi_\eta(z)\xi}$.*

We set

$$s_\alpha = s_{u_\alpha} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho \quad \text{and} \quad t_a = t_{v_a} \quad \text{for } a \in \Sigma^\eta. \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 4.7, we have for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$

$$s_\xi = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi \rangle_\eta), \qquad t_\xi = \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a \bar{\phi}_\rho(\langle v_a \mid \xi \rangle_\rho). \tag{4.5}$$

Let P_n be the projection on F_κ onto $F_n(\kappa)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. We also define two projections on F_κ by

$$P_\rho = \text{The projection onto } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(\pi_1,\cdots,\pi_n)\in\Gamma_n} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\eta \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_1} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \mathcal{H}_\kappa,$$

$$P_\eta = \text{The projection onto } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi_1,\cdots,\pi_n\in\Gamma_n} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_\rho \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_1} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_n} \mathcal{H}_\kappa.$$

Lemma 4.9. *Keep the above notations.*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = P_1 + P_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a t_a^* = P_1 + P_\eta.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a t_a^* + P_0 = 1_{F_\kappa} + P_1. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. For $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa)$ with $2 \le n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s_\alpha s_\alpha^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ =& \begin{cases} u_\alpha \otimes_\eta \phi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1\rangle_\eta)\xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As $u_\alpha \otimes_\eta \phi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1\rangle_\eta)\xi_2 = u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1\rangle_\eta) \otimes_\eta \xi_2$ and $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1\rangle_\eta) = \xi_1$, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = \begin{cases} \xi_1 \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*|_{\oplus_{n=2}^\infty F_n(\kappa)} = P_\rho|_{\oplus_{n=2}^\infty F_n(\kappa)}.$$

For $\xi \in F_1(\kappa) = \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have $s_\alpha s_\alpha^*\xi = s_\alpha(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta \oplus 0) = u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta)$ so that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*\xi = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} u_\alpha\varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi\rangle_\eta) = \xi.$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*|_{F_1(\kappa)} = 1_{F_1(\kappa)}.$$

As $s_\alpha s_\alpha^*(b_1 \oplus b_2) = 0$ for $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*|_{F_0(\kappa)} = 0.$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = P_\rho + P_1 \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a t_a^* = P_\eta + P_1.$$

As $P_\eta + P_\rho + P_0 + P_1 = 1_{F_\kappa}$, one has

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a t_a^* + P_0 = 1_{F_\kappa} + P_1.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *$s_\zeta^* s_\xi = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)$ and $t_\zeta^* t_\xi = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\rho)$ for $\zeta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$.*

Proof. The equalities for $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa)$

$$\begin{aligned} s_\zeta^* s_\xi(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) &= s_\zeta^*(\xi \otimes_\eta \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ &= \phi_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \end{aligned}$$

hold so that $s_\zeta^* s_\xi = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)$ on $\oplus_{n=1}^\infty F_n(\kappa)$. As

$$\begin{aligned} s_\zeta^* s_\xi(b_1 \oplus b_2) &= s_\zeta^*(\xi \varphi_\eta(b_1)) \\ &= \langle \zeta \mid \xi \varphi_\eta(b_1) \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 \\ &= \langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta b_1 \oplus 0 \\ &= \phi_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)(b_1 \oplus b_2), \end{aligned}$$

we have $s_\zeta^* s_\xi = \phi_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)$ on $F_0(\kappa)$. Hence $s_\zeta^* s_\xi = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\eta)$ on F_κ and similarly $t_\zeta^* t_\xi = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\langle \zeta \mid \xi \rangle_\rho)$. ☐

As $\bar{\phi}_\rho(y) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(y)$ on $F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ for $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we write $\bar{\phi}_\rho(y)(= \bar{\phi}_\eta(y))$ as $\bar{\phi}(y)$ on $F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ for $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.11. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha &= \bar{\phi}_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y)), & t_a^* \bar{\phi}(y) t_a &= \bar{\phi}_\rho(\eta_a(y)), \\ s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(y) &= \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*, & t_a t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) &= \bar{\phi}(y) t_a t_a^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The equalities on $F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) =& s_\alpha^*(\phi(y) u_\alpha \otimes_\eta \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ =& \phi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta) \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ =& \bar{\phi}_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \end{aligned}$$

imply $s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))$ on $F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We have on $F_0(\kappa)$

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha(b_1 \oplus b_2) &= \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y) u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(b_1) \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 \\ &= \langle u_\alpha \mid \varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y) b_1 \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 \\ &= \langle u_\alpha \mid u_\alpha \rangle_\eta \rho_\alpha(y) b_1 \oplus 0 \\ &= \rho_\alpha(y) b_1 \oplus 0 \\ &= \phi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))(b_1 \oplus b_2) \end{aligned}$$

so that $s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha = \phi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))$ on $F_0(\kappa)$. Thus we have $s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y))$ on F_κ. We similarly have $t_a^* \bar{\phi}(y) t_a = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\eta_a(y))$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y)(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ =& \begin{cases} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y) \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 \neq \eta. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi(y) \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \\ =& u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y*)) \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) = u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(y) \langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) = \phi(y) u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta), \end{aligned}$$

the equality $s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) = \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*$ on $F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, \dots$ holds. For $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in F_0(\kappa)$, the equality $s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \phi_\eta(y)(b_1 \oplus b_2) = \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*(b_1 \oplus b_2) = 0$ holds so that we conclude $s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(y) = \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*$ on F_κ and similarly $t_a t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) t_a t_a^*$. □

Lemma 4.12. *For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$t_a s_\beta t_b^* s_\alpha^* \ \bar{\phi}(y) = \bar{\phi}(y) \ t_a s_\beta t_b^* s_\alpha^*.$$

Proof. By the preceding lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_a s_\beta t_b^* s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) &= t_a s_\beta t_b^* t_b t_b^* s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}(y) s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = t_a s_\beta \bar{\phi}(\eta_b(\rho_\alpha(y))) t_b^* s_\alpha^*, \\ \bar{\phi}(y) t_a s_\beta t_b^* s_\alpha^* &= t_a t_a^* \bar{\phi}(y) t_a s_\beta s_\beta^* s_\beta t_b^* s_\alpha^* = t_a s_\beta \bar{\phi}(\rho_\beta(\eta_a(y))) t_b^* s_\alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

The desired equality holds by (1.1). □

Lemma 4.13. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*) P_\rho = s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^*, \qquad \bar{\phi}_\eta(T_a y T_a^*) P_\eta = t_a \bar{\phi}_\eta(y) t_a^*.$$

Proof. For $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa), n = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\phi}_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*) P_\rho(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (\phi_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*)\xi_1) \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For $\xi_1 = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega x_\omega$ with $x_\omega \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\phi_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*)\xi_1 = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(x_\omega).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^*(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} s_\alpha(\phi(y)\phi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta)\xi_2) \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As $\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, \alpha = t(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)} E_\omega x_\omega T_{r(\omega)}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha(\phi(y)(\phi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta)\xi_2) &= s_\alpha \phi_\eta(y \langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta)\xi_2 \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} u_\alpha \otimes_\eta \phi_\eta(T_{r(\omega)} \eta_{r(\omega)}(y) x_\omega T_{r(\omega)}^*)\xi_2 \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(T_{r(\omega)} \eta_{r(\omega)}(y) x_\omega T_{r(\omega)}^*) \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa, t(\omega) = \alpha} (e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \eta_{r(\omega)}(y) x_\omega) \otimes_\eta \xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\bar{\phi}_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*) P_\rho = s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^*$ on $F_n(\kappa), n = 2, 3, \dots$.

For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$, we have $\bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^* \xi = \bar{\phi}_\rho(y)(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi \rangle_\eta \oplus 0) = 0$ so that

$$s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^* \xi = \bar{\phi}_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*) P_\rho \xi = 0.$$

Therefore we have $\bar{\phi}_\rho(S_\alpha y S_\alpha^*) P_\rho = s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\rho(y) s_\alpha^*$ on F_κ.

The other equality $\bar{\phi}_\eta(T_a y T_a^*) P_\eta = t_a \bar{\phi}_\eta(y) t_a^*$ is similarly shown. □

Lemma 4.14. *For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$, we have*

$$s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*, \qquad t_a t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) t_a t_a^*,$$
$$s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*, \qquad t_a t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) t_a t_a^*$$

and hence

$$P_\rho \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_\rho, \qquad P_\eta \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_\eta,$$
$$P_\rho \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) P_\rho, \qquad P_\eta \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) P_\eta.$$

Proof. For $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha s_\alpha^* (\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\
=&\begin{cases} \phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) (\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\
=&\begin{cases} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \otimes_\eta \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) & \text{if } \pi_1 = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_1 = \rho. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha = u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) &= u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \rangle_\eta) \\
&= u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} u_\beta \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\beta \mid \xi_1 \rangle_\eta) \rangle_\eta) \\
&= u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) \xi_1 \rangle_\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha s_\alpha^*$ holds. Similarly by $\phi_\eta(z) u_\alpha = u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta)$, the equality $\bar{\phi}_\eta(z) s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ holds. As $P_\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^*$ on $\oplus_{n=2}^\infty F_n(\kappa)$, we see that P_ρ commutes with $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)$ and $\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$.

The other four equalities in the right hand side are similarly shown. □

Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ the C^*-algebras of all bounded adjointable right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ and on F_κ with respect to the right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner products respectively. For $L \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$, define $\bar{L} \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ by

$$\bar{L}(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) = (L\xi_1) \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n$$

for $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{\pi_2} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_\kappa$.

Lemma 4.15. *For $L \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$, we have*

$$s_\alpha^* \bar{L} s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta), \qquad t_a^* \bar{L} t_a = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\langle v_a \mid L v_a \rangle_\rho).$$

Proof. For $\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n \in F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_\alpha^* \bar{L} s_\alpha(\xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) =& s_\alpha^*(L u_\alpha \otimes_\eta \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n) \\
=& \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta) \xi_1 \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \xi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

For $b_1 \oplus b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \oplus \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we have

$$s_\alpha^* \bar{L} s_\alpha(b_1 \oplus b_2) = s_\alpha^* L(u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(b_1)) = \langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(b_1) \rangle_\eta \oplus 0 = \langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta b_1 \oplus 0$$

Since $\langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta b_1 \oplus 0 = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta)(b_1 \oplus b_2)$ we have

$$s_\alpha^* \bar{L} s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid L u_\alpha \rangle_\eta) \qquad \text{on } F_\kappa.$$

The other equality for $t_a^* \bar{L} t_a$ is similarly shown. $\square$

Lemma 4.16. *For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$, we have*

$$s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) \qquad t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) t_a = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\widehat{\eta}_a(z)),$$
$$s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)), \qquad t_a^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) t_a = \bar{\phi}_\rho(\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta, \qquad \widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z) = \langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta.$$

Hence the preceding lemma implies

$$s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)),$$
$$s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\langle u_\alpha \mid \phi_\eta(z) u_\alpha \rangle_\eta) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)).$$

The other two equalities in the right hand side are similarly shown. $\square$

Corollary 4.17. *For $w = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha w_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ as in* (2.2) *and $z = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} T_a z_a T_a^*$ as in* (2.3), *we have*

$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha \bar{\phi}_\eta(w_\alpha) s_\alpha^* + P_\eta \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_\eta + P_0 \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\bar{\phi}_\eta(z) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} t_a \bar{\phi}_\rho(z_a) t_a^* + P_\rho \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) P_\rho + P_0 \bar{\phi}_\eta(z) P_0. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. As $P_\rho + P_\eta + P_1 + P_0 = 1$ on F_κ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* = P_\rho + P_1$, one has

$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha s_\alpha^* + \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_\eta + \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_0.$$

Since $s_\alpha^* \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha = \bar{\phi}_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(w_\alpha)$, we have the equality (4.7). The equality (4.8) is similarly shown. $\square$

Lemma 4.18.

(i) *$\bar{\phi}_\rho : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ is a faithful $*$-homomorphism.*
(ii) *$\bar{\phi}_\eta : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ is a faithful $*$-homomorphism.*

Proof. (i) It is enough to show that $\phi_\rho : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$ is injective. For $w = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} S_\alpha w_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ as in (2.2), suppose that $\phi_\rho(w) = 0$ on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$. By Lemma 3.12, we have $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ so that $w_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, which shows $w = 0$. (ii) is similar to (i). $\square$

5. THE C^*-ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO THE HILBERT C^*-QUAD MODULES

In this section, we will study the C^*-algebras generated by the operators s_ξ, t_ξ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$. For $\xi, \zeta \in F_\kappa$, denote by $\theta_{\xi,\zeta}$ the rank one operator on F_κ defined by

$$\theta_{\xi,\zeta}(\gamma) = \xi \varphi_\mathcal{A}(\langle \zeta \mid \gamma \rangle_\mathcal{A}) \qquad \text{for } \gamma \in F_\kappa.$$

It is immediate to see that the operators $\theta_{\xi,\zeta}$ for $\xi, \zeta \in F_\kappa$ are $\mathcal{A}$-module maps through $\varphi_\mathcal{A}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{K}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(F_\kappa)$ generated by the rank one operators $\theta_{\xi,\zeta}$ for $\xi, \zeta \in F_\kappa$. Put the projections for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$

$$p_\alpha = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho \subset F_0(\kappa), \qquad q_a = T_a T_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \subset F_0(\kappa).$$

They are regarded as vectors in F_κ.

Lemma 5.1. $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} \theta_{p_\alpha, p_\alpha} + \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} \theta_{q_a, q_a} = P_0$: *the projection on F_κ onto $F_0(\kappa)$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{p_\alpha,p_\alpha}(b_2) &= p_\alpha \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle p_\alpha \mid b_2\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &= p_\alpha \psi_\eta(\lambda_\eta(p_\alpha^* b_2)) \\ &= p_\alpha \sum_{\alpha',\beta' \in \Sigma^\rho} S_{\alpha'} S_{\beta'}^* p_\alpha b_2 S_{\beta'} S_{\alpha'}^* = p_\alpha b_2\end{aligned}$$

so that $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \theta_{p_\alpha,p_\alpha}(b_2) = b_2$ for $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$. Similarly we have $\theta_{q_a,q_a}(b_1) = q_a b_1$ for $q_a \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ so that $\sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \theta_{q_a,q_a}(b_1) = b_1$. As $\theta_{q_a,q_a}(b_2) = 0$ for $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, $\theta_{p_\alpha,p_\alpha}(b_1) = 0$ for $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and

$$\theta_{p_\alpha,p_\alpha}(\xi) = \theta_{q_a,q_a}(\xi) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in F_n(\kappa), n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

the operator $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \theta_{p_\alpha,p_\alpha} + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \theta_{q_a,q_a}$ is the projection on F_κ onto $F_0(\kappa)$. ☐

Put $\epsilon_\omega := e_\omega \otimes E_\omega \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ for $\omega \in \Sigma_\kappa$. Then we see

$$\langle \epsilon_\omega \mid \epsilon_{\omega'}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} E_\omega & \text{if } \omega = \omega', \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \neq \omega'. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.2. $\{\epsilon_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa}$ *forms an orthogonal basis of* $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ *with respect to the* $\mathcal{A}$*-valued inner product* $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ *as a right* $\mathcal{A}$*-module through* $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. For $\xi = \sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa} e_{\omega'} \otimes E_{\omega'} x_{\omega'}$ with $x_{\omega'} \in \mathcal{A}$, one has

$$\langle \epsilon_\omega \mid \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\omega'\in\Sigma_\kappa} \langle \epsilon_\omega \mid \epsilon_{\omega'}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} x_{\omega'} = E_\omega x_\omega$$

so that

$$\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \epsilon_\omega \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_\omega x_\omega) = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \epsilon_\omega \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\langle \epsilon_\omega \mid \xi\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}). \tag{5.1}$$

☐

Lemma 5.3. $\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \theta_{\epsilon_\omega,\epsilon_\omega} = P_1$ *the projection on* F_κ *onto* $F_1(\kappa)$.

Proof. By (5.1), we have $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \theta_{\epsilon_\omega,\epsilon_\omega}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$. Since $\theta_{\epsilon_\omega,\epsilon_\omega}(\xi') = 0$ for $\xi' \in F_n(\kappa)$ with $n \neq 1$, we have $\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma_\kappa} \theta_{\epsilon_\omega,\epsilon_\omega} = P_1$. ☐

By the preceding lemmas, we have

Corollary 5.4. $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$.

The C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$ generated by the operators s_ξ, t_ξ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ and is called the Toeplitz quad module algebra.

Definition. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is defined as the quotient C^*-algebra of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$.

We set the quotients of the operators in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$:

$$U_\alpha := [s_\alpha] \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} \qquad V_a := [t_a] \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} s_\alpha s_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} t_a t_a^* + P_0 = 1 + P_1$$

and $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$ we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha U_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} V_a V_a^* = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

We also have for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$

$$\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha s_\alpha^* + \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) t_a t_a^* + \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_0 - \bar{\phi}_\rho(w) P_1.$$

As $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) s_\alpha = s_{\phi_\rho(w) u_\alpha}$ and $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w) t_a = t_{\phi_\rho(w) v_a}$ by Lemma 4.8, the operators $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and similarly $\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ modulo $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$. Let $\Phi_\rho(w), \Phi_\eta(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ denote the quotient images of $\bar{\phi}_\rho(w), \bar{\phi}_\eta(z)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ in the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} / \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$. The following lemma is clear by (4.5).

Lemma 5.5. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is generated by the partial isometries U_α, V_a for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and the elements $\Phi_\rho(w), \Phi_\eta(z)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.*

We will show that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ has a universal property subject to the operator relations inheritated from Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.16. The following proposition is direct.

Proposition 5.6. *The operators $\Phi_\rho(w), \Phi_\eta(z)$, U_α, V_a for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ satisfy the relations:*

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha U_\alpha^* + \sum_{a \in \Sigma^\eta} V_a V_a^* = 1, \\
U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \Phi_\rho(w) = \Phi_\rho(w) U_\alpha U_\alpha^*, \qquad V_a V_a^* \Phi_\rho(w) = \Phi_\rho(w) V_a V_a^*, \\
U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \Phi_\eta(z) = \Phi_\eta(z) U_\alpha U_\alpha^*, \qquad V_a V_a^* \Phi_\eta(z) = \Phi_\eta(z) V_a V_a^*, \\
\Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) = U_\alpha^* \Phi_\rho(w) U_\alpha, \qquad \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\eta}_a(z)) = V_a^* \Phi_\eta(z) V_a, \\
\Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)) = U_\alpha^* \Phi_\eta(z) U_\alpha, \qquad \Phi_\rho(\widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w)) = V_a^* \Phi_\rho(w) V_a, \\
\Phi_\rho(y) = \Phi_\eta(y)
\end{gathered}$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

The ten relations above are called the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$. We will henceforth prove that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ has the universal property subject to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by the operators $\Phi_\rho(w), \Phi_\eta(z)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative. Then $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ is commutative by the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$.*

Proof. As the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, the algebras $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ are both commutative by Lemma 3.8. Hence it is enough to prove that $\Phi_\rho(w)$ commutes with $\Phi_\eta(z)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$. For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, it follows that

$$\Phi_\eta(z)\Phi_\rho(w) U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = U_\alpha \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)) \Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) U_\alpha^*.$$

As $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(w)) = \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(w))$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z))\Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) &= \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) \\
\Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w))\Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)) &= \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Both the elements $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z), \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)$ belong to the commutative algebra $\mathcal{B}_\eta$, so that

$$\Phi_\eta(z)\Phi_\rho(w) U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = U_\alpha \Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z)) U_\alpha^* = \Phi_\rho(w)\Phi_\eta(z) U_\alpha U_\alpha^*.$$

Similarly we have

$$\Phi_\eta(z)\Phi_\rho(w) V_a V_a^* = \Phi_\rho(w)\Phi_\eta(z) V_a V_a^*$$

for $a \in \Sigma^\eta$. As $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha U_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} V_a V_a^* = 1$, one concludes that

$$\Phi_\eta(z)\Phi_\rho(w) = \Phi_\rho(w)\Phi_\eta(z).$$

□

Put $\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta} = \Sigma^\rho \cup \Sigma^\eta$. We set for $\gamma \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$ and $X \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$

$$\rho_\gamma^\kappa(X) = W_\gamma^* X W_\gamma \quad \text{where} \quad W_\gamma = \begin{cases} U_\alpha & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \\ V_a & \text{if } \gamma = a \in \Sigma^\eta. \end{cases}$$

Since $U_\alpha^* \mathcal{B}_\kappa U_\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa$ and $V_a^* \mathcal{B}_\kappa V_a \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa$, we have

$$\rho_\gamma^\kappa(\mathcal{B}_\kappa) \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa,$$

so that we have a family of endomorphisms $\rho_\gamma^\kappa, \gamma \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$ on $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$. In what follows, we assume that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, so that the algebras $\mathcal{B}_\rho, \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ are all commutative.

Lemma 5.8. *The triplet $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \rho^\kappa, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ is a C^*-symbolic dynamical system.*

Proof. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} W_\gamma W_\gamma^* = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha U_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} V_a V_a^* = 1$ and $W_\gamma W_\gamma^*$ commutes with $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$, the family $\rho_\gamma^\kappa, \gamma \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$ yields an endomorphisms on $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} \rho_\gamma^\kappa(1) &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha^* U_\alpha + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} V_a^* V_a \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \Phi_\rho(\rho_\alpha(1)) + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \Phi_\eta(\eta_a(1)) \\ &\geq \Phi_\rho(1) + \Phi_\eta(1) \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \rho^\kappa, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ is a C^*-symbolic dynamical system. □

For $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n \in B_n(\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|_\rho &= \text{the number of symbols of } \Sigma^\rho \text{ appearing in the word } \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n, \\ |\mu|_\eta &= \text{the number of symbols of } \Sigma^\eta \text{ appearing in the word } \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\mu|_\rho + |\mu|_\eta = n$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by the operators $W_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} b W_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^*$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$ and $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \in B_n(\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ such that $|\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n|_\rho = |\gamma_1' \cdots \gamma_n'|_\rho$ and $|\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n|_\eta = |\gamma_1' \cdots \gamma_n'|_\eta$. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} W_\gamma W_\gamma^* = 1$ and $W_\gamma W_\gamma^*$ commutes with $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$, the equality

$$W_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} b W_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^* = \sum_{\gamma_{n+1}\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} W_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} W_{\gamma_{n+1}} \rho_{\gamma_{n+1}}^\kappa(b) W_{\gamma_{n+1}}^* W_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^*$$

gives rise to an embedding $\mathcal{F}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by $\cup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$.

We define 2-parameter unitary groups on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{r_1}^\rho &: u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(z) \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa \longrightarrow e^{2\pi i r_1} u_\alpha \varphi_\eta(z) \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \quad \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta \\ \theta_{r_2}^\eta &: v_a \varphi_\rho(w) \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa \longrightarrow e^{2\pi i r_2} v_a \varphi_\rho(w) \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa, \quad a \in \Sigma^\eta, w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho \end{aligned}$$

for $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$. They extend on $\mathcal{H}_\kappa \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_\kappa$ for $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$ by

$$\theta_{r_1}^{\rho\otimes n} = \theta_{r_1}^\rho \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \theta_{r_1}^\rho, \qquad \theta_{r_2}^{\eta\otimes n} = \theta_{r_2}^\eta \otimes_{\pi_1} \cdots \otimes_{\pi_{n-1}} \theta_{r_2}^\eta,$$

which naturally extend on $F_n(\kappa)$. We put

$$u^{\rho}_{r_1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^{\rho\otimes n}_{r_1}, \qquad u^{\eta}_{r_2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^{\eta\otimes n}_{r_2} \qquad \text{on } F_\kappa = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(\kappa)$$

for $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$, where $\theta^{\rho\otimes n}_{r_1}, \theta^{\eta\otimes n}_{r_2}$ for $n = 0$ are defined by $\theta^{\rho\otimes 0}_{r_1}(b_1 \oplus b_2) = e^{2\pi i r_1} b_1 \oplus b_2$, $\theta^{\eta\otimes 0}_{r_2}(b_1 \oplus b_2) = b_1 \oplus e^{2\pi i r_2} b_2$. We note that $u^{\rho}_{r_1} u^{\eta}_{r_2} = u^{\eta}_{r_2} u^{\rho}_{r_1}$. Define

$$g_{(r_1,r_2)} = Ad(u^{\rho}_{r_1} u^{\eta}_{r_2}) \text{ on } F_\kappa \text{ for } (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.9. *For $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and $(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$, we have*

$$g_{(r_1,r_2)}(\bar{\phi}_\rho(w)) = \bar{\phi}_\rho(w), \qquad g_{(r_1,r_2)}(\bar{\phi}_\eta(z)) = \bar{\phi}_\eta(z),$$
$$g_{(r_1,r_2)}(s_\alpha) = e^{2\pi i r_1} s_\alpha, \qquad g_{(r_1,r_2)}(t_a) = e^{2\pi i r_2} t_a.$$

It is easy to see that $g_{(r_1,r_2)}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)) = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\kappa)$ so that $g_{(r_1,r_2)}$ defines an automorphism on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ for $(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$, which is still denoted by $g_{(r_1,r_2)}$. The automorphisms $g_{(r_1,r_2)}, (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ define an action

$$g : (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow g_{(r_1,r_2)} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa})$$

of $\mathbb{T}^2$, called the gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$. Define a faithful conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ onto the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa})^g$ by setting

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}(X) = \int_{(r_1,r_2)\in\mathbb{T}^2} g_{(r_1,r_2)}(X)\ dr_1 dr_2, \qquad X \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}.$$

Then the following lemma holds. Its proof is routine.

Lemma 5.10. *The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa})^g$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ under the action g of $\mathbb{T}^2$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$.*

We will prove that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ has a universal property subject to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}^{uni}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ the universal C^*-algebra generated by the operators $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and partial isometries $\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \mathtt{v}_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ satisfying the following operator relations:

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* = 1,$$
$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* w = w \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* w = w \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*,$$
$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* z = z \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* z = z \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*,$$
$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* w \mathtt{u}_\alpha, \qquad \widehat{\eta}_a(z) = \mathtt{v}_a^* z \mathtt{v}_a,$$
$$\widehat{\rho}^{\eta}_\alpha(z) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* z \mathtt{u}_\alpha, \qquad \widehat{\eta}^{\rho}_a(w) = \mathtt{v}_a^* w \mathtt{v}_a,$$
$$\iota_\eta(y) = \iota_\rho(y)$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, y \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\iota_\eta : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and $\iota_\rho : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho$ are natural embeddings. The above ten relations of operators are also called the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}^{uni}_\kappa$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}^{uni}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by the elements $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$. We set for $\gamma \in \Sigma^\rho \cup \Sigma^\eta$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}^{uni}_\kappa$

$$\rho^{uni}_\gamma(x) = w_\gamma^* x w_\gamma \quad \text{where} \quad w_\gamma = \begin{cases} \mathtt{u}_\alpha & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \\ \mathtt{v}_a & \text{if } \gamma = a \in \Sigma^\eta. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni} \mathtt{u}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$ and $\mathtt{v}_a^* \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni} \mathtt{v}_a \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$, we have

$$\rho_\gamma^{uni}(\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}) \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni},$$

so that we have a family of endomorphisms $\rho_\gamma^{uni}, \gamma \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$ on $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$. Similarly as in the preceding lemma, we have

Lemma 5.11. *The triplet $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}, \rho^{uni}, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ is a C^*-symbolic dynamical system.*

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by $\mathcal{F}_n^{uni}$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ generated by the operators $w_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} b w_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^*$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$ and $\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n \in B_n(\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ such that $|\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n|_\rho = |\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'|_\rho$ and $|\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n|_\eta = |\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'|_\eta$. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} w_\gamma w_\gamma^* = 1$, and $w_\gamma w_\gamma^*$ commutes with $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$, the equality

$$w_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} b w_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^* = \sum_{\gamma_{n+1}\in\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}} w_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_n} w_{\gamma_{n+1}} \rho_{\gamma_{n+1}}^{uni}(b) w_{\gamma_{n+1}}^* w_{\gamma_1'\cdots\gamma_n'}^*$$

gives rise to an embedding $\mathcal{F}_n^{uni} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^{uni}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ generated by $\cup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n^{uni}$. By the universality of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ subject to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$, the correspondences for each $(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$

$$w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}, \qquad z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni},$$
$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow e^{2\pi i r_1}\mathtt{u}_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow e^{2\pi i r_2}\mathtt{v}_a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni},$$

give rise to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$, which we denote by $g_{(r_1,r_2)}^{uni}$. Similarly to the preceding discussions, g^{uni} yields an action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$, called the gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$. Define similarly to the preceding discussions a faithful conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ onto the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni})^{g^{uni}}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}(X) = \int_{(r_1,r_2)\in\mathbb{T}^2} g_{(r_1,r_2)}(X)\, dr_1 dr_2, \qquad X \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}.$$

Similarly to the previous discussions, we have

Lemma 5.12. *The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni})^{g^{uni}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ under the gauge action g^{uni} of $\mathbb{T}^2$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$.*

By the universality of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ subject to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$, there exists a surjective $*$-homomorphism $\varPsi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ satisfying

$$\varPsi(w) = \Phi_\rho(w), \qquad \varPsi(z) = \Phi_\eta(z),$$
$$\varPsi(\mathtt{u}_\alpha) = U_\alpha, \qquad \varPsi(\mathtt{v}_a) = V_a$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$. We will prove that there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\pi_\kappa : \mathcal{B}_\kappa \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$ such that $\pi_\kappa(\Phi_\rho(w)) = w, \pi_\kappa(\Phi_\eta(z)) = z$.

Lemma 5.13. *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$, we have*

(i) *The correspondence $U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(z)U_\alpha^* \in U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow \mathtt{u}_\alpha z \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$ for $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ yields a $*$-homomorphism.*

(ii) *The correspondence $V_a\Phi_\rho(w)V_a^* \in V_a\Phi_\rho(\mathcal{B}_\rho)V_a^* \longrightarrow \mathtt{v}_a w \mathtt{v}_a^* \in \mathtt{v}_a\mathcal{B}_\rho\mathtt{v}_a^*$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ yields a $*$-homomorphism.*

Proof. (i) As $U_\alpha^*U_\alpha = \Phi_\eta(\rho_\alpha(1)) = \Phi_\eta(P_\alpha)$ and $\mathtt{u}_\alpha P_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(1) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha$, the maps

$$Ad(U_\alpha^*) : U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(z)U_\alpha^* \in U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow U_\alpha^*U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(z)U_\alpha^*U_\alpha \in \Phi_\eta(P_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta P_\alpha),$$
$$Ad(\mathtt{u}_\alpha) : P_\alpha z P_\alpha \in P_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta P_\alpha (\subset \mathcal{B}_\eta) \longrightarrow \mathtt{u}_\alpha z \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$$

are $*$-homomorphisms. As $\Phi_\eta : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)$ is a $*$-isomorphism, the desired map

$$U_\alpha \Phi_\eta(z) U_\alpha^* \in U_\alpha \Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta) U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow \mathtt{u}_\alpha z \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathcal{B}_\eta \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$$

for $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ yields a $*$-homomorphism.

(ii) is similar to (i). ☐

Lemma 5.14.

(i) *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, the correspondence:*

$$\Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$$

for $x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\gamma_k = \rho)$ and $x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta(\gamma_k = \eta)$ gives rise to a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_\kappa U_\alpha U_\alpha^*$ to $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$.

(ii) *For $a \in \Sigma^\eta$, the correspondence:*

$$\Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})V_a V_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa V_a V_a^* \longrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_n}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^*$$

for $x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho(\gamma_k = \rho)$ and $x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta(\gamma_k = \eta)$ gives rise to a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_\kappa V_a V_a^*$ to $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^*$.

Proof. (i) Since $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_\eta$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, we see $\Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) = \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w))$ so that

$$U_\alpha^*\Phi_\rho(w)U_\alpha = \Phi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) = \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)), \qquad U_\alpha^*\Phi_\eta(z)U_\alpha = \Phi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z))$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$. For $x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ or $\in \mathcal{B}_\rho$, put

$$\widehat{x}_{j_k} := \begin{cases} \widehat{\rho}_\alpha(x_{j_k}) & \text{if } x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, \\ \widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(x_{j_k}) & \text{if } x_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta. \end{cases}$$

We then have $\widehat{x}_{j_k} \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \\ =& U_\alpha U_\alpha^*\Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})U_\alpha U_\alpha^*\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})U_\alpha U_\alpha^*\cdots U_\alpha U_\alpha^*\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \\ =& U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\widehat{x}_{j_1})\Phi_\eta(\widehat{x}_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_\eta(\widehat{x}_{j_n})U_\alpha^* \\ =& U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\widehat{x}_{j_1}\widehat{x}_{j_2}\cdots\widehat{x}_{j_n})U_\alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma, the correspondence

$$U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\widehat{x}_{j_1}\widehat{x}_{j_2}\cdots\widehat{x}_{j_n})U_\alpha^* \in U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow \mathtt{u}_\alpha\widehat{x}_{j_1}\widehat{x}_{j_2}\cdots\widehat{x}_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$$

gives rise to a $*$-homomorphism from $U_\alpha\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)U_\alpha^*$ to $\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathcal{B}_\eta\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{u}_\alpha\widehat{x}_{j_1}\widehat{x}_{j_2}\cdots\widehat{x}_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* &= \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*x_{j_1}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*x_{j_2}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*\cdots\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*x_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \\ &= x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \end{aligned}$$

we have a desired $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_\kappa U_\alpha U_\alpha^*$ to $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$.

(ii) is similar to (i). ☐

The above $*$-homomorphisms of (i) and of (ii) are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\alpha :& \Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \longrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_n}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \\ \pi_a :& \Phi_{\gamma_1}(x_{j_1})\Phi_{\gamma_2}(x_{j_2})\cdots\Phi_{\gamma_n}(x_{j_n})V_a V_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa V_a V_a^* \longrightarrow x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_n}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^* \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^* \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.15. *There exists a $*$-homomorphism*

$$\pi_\kappa : \mathcal{B}_\kappa \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$$

such that $\pi_\kappa(\Phi_\rho(w)) = w$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\pi_\kappa(\Phi_\eta(z)) = z$ for $z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} U_\alpha U_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} V_a V_a^* = 1$ and $\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* = 1$ by putting

$$\pi_\kappa(X) := \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma^\rho} \pi_\alpha(XU_\alpha U_\alpha^*)\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* + \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \pi_a(XV_aV_a^*)\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^*$$

for $X \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$, we have a desired $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ to $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$. $\square$

We will consider the $*$-homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ again. By the above discussions we have

Lemma 5.16. *The restriction $\Psi|_{\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}} : \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa$ of Ψ to the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$ is the inverse of $\pi_\kappa : \mathcal{B}_\kappa \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}$. Hence $\Psi|_{\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}} : \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa$ is a $*$-isomorphism.*

Therefore we reach the main result of the paper:

Theorem 5.17. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is canonically $*$-isomorphic to the universal C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ generated by the operators $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ and partial isometries $\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \mathtt{v}_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ satisfying the operator relations:*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} \mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* = 1, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* w = w \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* w = w \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* z = z \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* z = z \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* w \mathtt{u}_\alpha, \qquad \widehat{\eta}_a(z) = \mathtt{v}_a^* z \mathtt{v}_a, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* z \mathtt{u}_\alpha, \qquad \widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w) = \mathtt{v}_a^* w \mathtt{v}_a, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\iota_\eta(y) = \iota_\rho(y) \tag{5.8}$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, y \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\iota_\rho : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\iota_\eta : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\eta$ are natural embeddings.

Proof. The triplets $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa^{uni}, \rho^{uni}, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ and $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \rho^\kappa, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ are both the C^*-symbolic dynamical systems. As in the discussions of the proof of [22, Lemma 3.2], the above lemma implies that the restriction $\Psi|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ of Ψ to the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ is a $*$-isomorphism. The diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \arrow[r, "\Psi"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}"] \\
\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \arrow[r, "\Psi|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}}"'] & \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Since the conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}$ is faithful and the restriction $\Psi|_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni}}$ is $*$-isomorphic, one concludes that $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}^{uni} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is a $*$-isomorphism by a routine argument as in [5, 2.9.Proposition]. $\square$

The above theorem implies the following: Suppose that there exist two families of partial isometries $\hat{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, \hat{v}_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ in a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{D}$ and two

$*$-homomorphisms $\pi_\rho : \mathcal{B}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $\pi_\eta : \mathcal{B}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ satisfying the relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} \hat{u}_\beta \hat{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} \hat{v}_b \hat{v}_b^* = 1, \\
\hat{u}_\alpha \hat{u}_\alpha^* \pi_\rho(w) = \pi_\rho(w) \hat{u}_\alpha \hat{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \hat{v}_a \hat{v}_a^* \pi_\rho(w) = \pi_\rho(w) \hat{v}_a \hat{v}_a^*, \\
\hat{u}_\alpha \hat{u}_\alpha^* \pi_\eta(z) = \pi_\eta(z) \hat{u}_\alpha \hat{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \hat{v}_a \hat{v}_a^* \pi_\eta(z) = \pi_\eta(z) \hat{v}_a \hat{v}_a^*, \\
\pi_\rho(\widehat{\rho}_\alpha(w)) = \hat{u}_\alpha^* \pi_\rho(w) \hat{u}_\alpha, \qquad \pi_\eta(\widehat{\eta}_a(z)) = \hat{v}_a^* \pi_\rho(z) \hat{v}_a, \\
\pi_\eta(\widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(z)) = \hat{u}_\alpha^* \pi_\eta(z) \hat{u}_\alpha, \qquad \pi_\rho(\widehat{\eta}^\rho_a(w)) = \hat{v}_a^* \pi_\rho(w) \hat{v}_a, \\
\pi_\rho(y) = \pi_\eta(y)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a $*$-homomorphism π from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ onto the C^*-algebra generated by $\pi_\rho(w), \pi_\eta(z), \hat{u}_\alpha, \hat{v}_a$ such that $\pi(w) = \pi_\rho(w), \pi(z) = \pi_\eta(z), \pi(U_\alpha) = \hat{u}_\alpha, \pi(V_a) = \hat{v}_a$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$.

The notions of condition (I) and irreducibility for C^*-symbolic dynamical systems have been defined in [21](cf. [22]). The former guarantees a uniqueness of the resulting C^*-algebras under operator relations among generators. The latter does a simplicity of them.

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ satisfies *condition* (I) if the C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \rho^\kappa, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ satisfies condition (I). We also say that $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is *irreducible* if the C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \rho^\kappa, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ is irreducible.

Corollary 5.18. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ satisfies condition (I), then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is the unique C^*-algebra subject to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$.*

(ii) *If in adittion $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is irreducible, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ is simple.*

In the rest of this section, we will consider a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by U_α, V_a for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and elements $\Phi_\rho(x), \Phi_\eta(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The subalgebra is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$. Since the operators $\bar{\phi}_\rho(x)$ and $\bar{\phi}_\eta(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ are different only on $F_0(\kappa)$, we have $\Phi_\rho(x) = \Phi_\eta(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$, which we denote by $\Phi(x)$. Hence the following relations hold:

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} U_\beta U_\beta^* + \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} V_b V_b^* = 1, \tag{5.9}
$$

$$
U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) U_\alpha U_\alpha^*, \qquad V_a V_a^* \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) V_a V_a^*, \tag{5.10}
$$

$$
U_\alpha^* \Phi(x) U_\alpha = \Phi(\rho_\alpha(x)), \qquad V_a^* \Phi(x) V_a = \Phi(\eta_a(x)) \tag{5.11}
$$

for $x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$. For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, define the operators in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$ by

$$
C_\omega = V_a U_\beta V_b^* U_\alpha^*, \tag{5.12}
$$

$$
P_{r,\omega} = V_a U_\beta U_\beta^* V_a^*, \qquad P_{s,\omega} = U_\alpha V_b V_b^* U_\alpha^*. \tag{5.13}
$$

Lemma 5.19. *For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in \Sigma_\kappa$, we have*

(i) *C_ω is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ satisfying*

$$
C_\omega \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) C_\omega, \qquad x \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{5.14}
$$

$$
V_a U_\beta = C_\omega U_\alpha V_b, \qquad U_\alpha V_b = C_\omega^* V_a U_\beta. \tag{5.15}
$$

(ii) *$P_{r,\omega}, P_{s,\omega}$ are projections satisfying*

$$
P_{r,\omega} = C_\omega C_\omega^*, \qquad P_{s,\omega} = C_\omega^* C_\omega. \tag{5.16}
$$

(iii) $C_\omega \not\in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$.

Proof. (i) By (5.10) and (5.11), we have for $x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_\omega \Phi(x) = & V_a U_\beta V_b^* \Phi(\rho_\alpha(x)) U_\alpha^* = V_a U_\beta \Phi(\eta_b(\rho_\alpha(x))) V_b^* U_\alpha^* \\ \Phi(x) C_\omega = & V_a \Phi(\eta_a(x)) U_\beta V_b^* U_\alpha^* = V_a U_\beta \Phi(\rho_\beta(\eta_a(x))) V_b^* U_\alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1), we have (5.14). We also have

$$C_\omega U_\alpha V_b = V_a U_\beta \Phi(\eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1))) = V_a U_\beta \Phi(\rho_\beta(\eta_a(1))) = V_a U_\beta U_\beta^* V_a^* V_a U_\beta = V_a U_\beta$$

and similarly $C_\omega^* V_a U_\beta = U_\alpha V_b$.

(ii) The equaltions (5.16) are straightforward.

(iii) Suppose that $C_\omega \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa$. Since $U_\alpha U_\alpha^*$ commutes with $\Phi_\rho(w), \Phi_\eta(z)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$, it commutes with $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ and hence with C_ω. Hence we have

$$V_a U_\beta = C_\omega U_\alpha V_b = U_\alpha U_\alpha^* C_\omega U_\alpha V_b.$$

As $V_a V_a^* U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = 0$, one has $V_a U_\beta = 0$. Since $\Phi(\rho_\beta(\eta_a(y))) = U_\beta^* V_a^* y V_a U_\beta = 0$, one has $\rho_\beta(\eta_a(y)) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$, a contradiction. □

For the two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \Sigma^\rho)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \eta, \Sigma^\eta)$ in the C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$, we define their union $(\mathcal{A}, \rho \cup \eta, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ as the following way, where $\Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta} = \Sigma^\rho \cup \Sigma^\eta$. For $\gamma \in \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta}$, define an endomorphism $(\rho \cup \eta)_\gamma$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by setting

$$(\rho \cup \eta)_\gamma = \begin{cases} \rho_\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma^\rho, \\ \eta_\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \Sigma^\eta. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the triplet $(\mathcal{A}, \rho\cup\eta, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$ is a C^*-symbolic dynamical system. Hence we have a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}$ from $(\mathcal{A}, \rho\cup\eta, \Sigma^{\rho\cup\eta})$. Denote by $\mathtt{S}_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $\mathtt{S}_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ its generating partial isometries satisfying the relations:

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} \mathtt{S}_\beta \mathtt{S}_\beta^* + \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} \mathtt{S}_b \mathtt{S}_b^* = 1, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\mathtt{S}_\alpha \mathtt{S}_\alpha^* x = x \mathtt{S}_\alpha \mathtt{S}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{S}_a \mathtt{S}_a^* x = x \mathtt{S}_a \mathtt{S}_a^*, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\mathtt{S}_\alpha^* x \mathtt{S}_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(x), \qquad \mathtt{S}_a^* x \mathtt{S}_a = \eta_a(x) \tag{5.19}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$. Then we have

Proposition 5.20. (i) *The correspondence:*

$$\mathtt{S}_\alpha \longleftrightarrow U_\alpha \qquad \mathtt{S}_a \longleftrightarrow V_a, \qquad x \longleftrightarrow \Phi(x)$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}$ yield a $*$-isomorphism between the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}, \tag{5.20}$$

(ii) *Hence the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$ is realized as the universal C^*-algebra generated by partial isometries U_α, V_a for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ and elements $x \in \mathcal{A}$ subject to the relations:*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^\rho} U_\beta U_\beta^* + \sum_{b \in \Sigma^\eta} V_b V_b^* = 1,$$

$$U_\alpha U_\alpha^* \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) U_\alpha U_\alpha^*, \qquad V_a V_a^* \Phi(x) = \Phi(x) V_a V_a^*,$$

$$U_\alpha^* \Phi(x) U_\alpha = \Phi(\rho_\alpha(x)), \qquad V_a^* \Phi(x) V_a = \Phi(\eta_a(x)).$$

Proof. By the universality of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}$ subject to the relation (5.17), (5.18), (5.19), the correspondences

$$\mathtt{S}_\alpha \longrightarrow U_\alpha \qquad \mathtt{S}_a \longrightarrow V_a, \qquad x \longrightarrow \Phi(x)$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}$ yield a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$ which we denote by Ψ. Since the action $g_{(r_1,r_2)}, (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ preserves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$, the automorphisms $g_{(t,t)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$ give rise to an action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$ which we denote by $g^{\mathcal{A}}_t$. It satisfies

$$g^{\mathcal{A}}_t(\Phi(x)) = \Phi(x), \qquad g^{\mathcal{A}}_t(U_\alpha) = e^{2\pi i t}U_\alpha, \qquad g^{\mathcal{A}}_t(V_a) = e^{2\pi i t}V_a$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\hat{g}$ be the gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta}$ which satisfies

$$\hat{g}_t(x) = x, \qquad \hat{g}_t(\mathtt{S}_\alpha) = e^{2\pi i t}\mathtt{S}_\alpha, \qquad \hat{g}_t(\mathtt{S}_a) = e^{2\pi i t}\mathtt{S}_a$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence we have

$$\Psi \circ \hat{g}_t = g^{\mathcal{A}}_t \circ \Psi \qquad \text{for} \qquad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa})^{g^{\mathcal{A}}}$ be the fixed point algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}$ under the action $g^{\mathcal{A}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa})^{g^{\mathcal{A}}}$ the conditional expectation defined by the formula:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g^{\mathcal{A}}_t(X)\, dt \qquad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A},\kappa}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\rho\cup\eta} : \mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\rho\cup\eta})^{\hat{g}}$ the conditional expectation similarly defined to the above by the gauge action $\hat{g}$. Since we have

$$\Psi \circ \mathcal{E}^{\rho\cup\eta} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}} \circ \Psi$$

and $\mathcal{E}^{\rho\cup\eta}$ is faithful, by a routine argument as in [5, 2.9.Proposition], one concludes that Ψ is injective and hence $*$-isomorphic. □

6. Relation between $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$

For a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$, the author has introduced a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ in [23]. It is realized as the universal C^*-algebra $C^*(x, S_\alpha, T_a; x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta)$ generated by $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and two families of partial isometries $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$, $T_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ subject to the relations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) that are called the relations $(\rho, \eta; \kappa)$. In this section we will describe a relationship between the two algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$. As both of the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ are naturally regarded as C^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$, the algebras $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ may be realized as the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ generated by $S_\alpha x S^*_\alpha$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and that of $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ generated by $T_a x T^*_a$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ respectively.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $T_a, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ be partial isometries in the algebra $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ satisfying the relations* (1.2), (1.3) *and* (1.4).

(i) *For $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho$ and $z = \sum_{b\in\Sigma^\eta} T_b z_b T^*_b \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ as in* (2.3),

$$S^*_\alpha z S_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(z_a) T^*_b = \widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha(z). \tag{6.1}$$

(ii) *For* $a \in \Sigma^\eta$ *and* $w = \sum_{\beta\in\Sigma^\rho} S_\beta w_\beta S_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}_\rho$ *as in* (2.2),

$$T_a^* w T_a = \sum_{\substack{\alpha,b,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} S_\beta \eta_b(w_\alpha) S_\beta^* = \widehat{\eta}_a^\rho(w). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. (i) By [23, Lemma 4.2] the following formulae hold for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$,

$$T_a^* S_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{b,\beta \\ \kappa(\alpha,b)=(a,\beta)}} S_\beta \eta_b(\rho_\alpha(1)) T_b^*, \qquad S_\alpha^* T_a = \sum_{\substack{b,\beta \\ \kappa(\alpha,b)=(a,\beta)}} T_b \rho_\beta(\eta_a(1)) S_\beta^*.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha^* z S_\alpha &= \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} S_\alpha^* T_a z_a T_a^* S_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{a\in\Sigma^\eta} \sum_{\substack{b,\beta \\ \kappa(\alpha,b)=(a,\beta)}} T_b \rho_\beta(Q_a) S_\beta^* z_a \sum_{\substack{b',\beta' \\ \kappa(\alpha,b')=(a,\beta')}} S_{\beta'} \rho_{\beta'}(Q_a) T_{b'}^* \\ &= \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta \\ (\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in\Sigma_\kappa}} T_b \rho_\beta(Q_a z_a Q_a) T_b^* = \widehat{\rho}_\alpha^\eta(z). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) is similar to (i). □

Let us denote by S_1, S_2 isometries satisfying $S_1S_1^* + S_2S_2^* = 1$. The C^*-algebra generated by them is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ of order 2. In the tensor product C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}_\alpha &= S_\alpha \otimes S_1 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, & \widehat{v}_a &= T_a \otimes S_2 \text{ for } a \in \Sigma^\eta, \\ \pi_\rho(w) &= w \otimes 1 \text{ for } w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, & \pi_\eta(z) &= z \otimes 1 \text{ for } z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By the above lemma, the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 6.2. *The operators* $\widehat{u}_\alpha, \widehat{v}_a$ *for* $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ *and* $\pi_\rho(w), \pi_\eta(z)$ *for* $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$ *satisfy the relations* $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa)$.

We thus have

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that* $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^\rho, \Sigma^\eta, \kappa)$ *satisfies condition (I). Then the correspondences*

$$\begin{aligned} U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} &\longrightarrow S_\alpha \otimes S_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2, \\ V_a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} &\longrightarrow T_a \otimes S_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2, \\ w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho &\longrightarrow w \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2, \\ z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta &\longrightarrow z \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ *give rise to a* $*$*-isomorphism from* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ *to the* C^**-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{O}_{\rho,\eta}^\kappa \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$ *genarated by the partial isometries* $S_\alpha \otimes S_1, T_a \otimes S_2$ *for* $\alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta$ *and the elements* $w \otimes 1, z \otimes 1$ *for* $w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta$. *That is*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa} \cong C^*(S_\alpha \otimes S_1, T_a \otimes S_2, w \otimes 1, z \otimes 1 : \alpha \in \Sigma^\rho, a \in \Sigma^\eta, w \in \mathcal{B}_\rho, z \in \mathcal{B}_\eta).$$

We will present an example. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ be automorphisms of a unital commutative C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Put $\Sigma^\rho = \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}, \Sigma^\eta = \{\boldsymbol{\beta}\}$ and define $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \eta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$. We have two C^*-symbolic dynamical systems $(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}), (\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \{\boldsymbol{\beta}\})$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\circ\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}\circ\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. Put $\Sigma^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}} = \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\}, \Sigma^{\boldsymbol{\beta\alpha}} = \{(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})\}$. The specification $\kappa : \Sigma^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{\boldsymbol{\beta\alpha}}$ is unique and satisfies $\kappa(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})$. We have a C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta},\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\},\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\},\kappa)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ the associated Hilbert C^*-quad module. Since $\Sigma_\kappa = \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})\}$ a singleton and $E_\omega = \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(1)) = 1$ so that $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathcal{A}$. As $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\circ\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}\circ\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, they induce an action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}$. By the universality subject to the relations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), one easily sees that the algebra $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{\rho,\eta}$ for the C^*-textile dynamical system $(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta},\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\},\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\},\kappa)$ is $*$-isomorphic to the crossed product $\mathcal{A}\times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbb{Z}^2$. Take implementing unitaries U, V in $\mathcal{A}\times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbb{Z}^2$ for the action such that

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(x) = U^*xU, \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}(x) = V^*xV \qquad \text{for } x\in\mathcal{A}.$$

Since both U, V are unitaries, we have $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-1}(x) = UxU^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}(x) = VxV^*$ for $x\in\mathcal{A}$ which belong to $\mathcal{A}$. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = C^*(UxU^* \mid x\in\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = C^*(VxV^* \mid x\in\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}.$$

For $w\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, z\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ we have

$$w = U\boldsymbol{\alpha}(w)U^*, \qquad z = V\boldsymbol{\alpha}(z)V^*.$$

We will write down the Hilbert C^*-quad module structure for $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathcal{A}$ defined in Section 3. For $\xi = x, \xi' = x' \in \mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathcal{A}, y\in\mathcal{A}, w\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathcal{A}, z\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathcal{A}$,

0. The right $\mathcal{A}$-module and the right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = xy \text{ for } y\in\mathcal{A}, \qquad \langle\xi\mid\xi'\rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = x^*x'.$$

1. The right action of $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and the right action of $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\xi\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(w) = x\boldsymbol{\alpha}(w), \qquad \xi\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(z) = x\boldsymbol{\beta}(z).$$

2. The right $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-valued inner product and the right $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$-valued inner product:

$$\langle\xi\mid\xi'\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = Ux^*x'U^* = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-1}(x^*x'), \qquad \langle\xi\mid\xi'\rangle_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = Vx^*x'V^* = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}(x^*x').$$

3. The left action of $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ and the left action of $\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(w)\xi = \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(w))x, \qquad \phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(z)\xi = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(z))x.$$

We then have

$$\widehat{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(w) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(w), \qquad \widehat{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(z) = \boldsymbol{\beta}(z) \qquad \text{for } w\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathcal{A}, z\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathcal{A}.$$

For the $*$-homomorphisms $\widehat{\rho}^{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} : \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\widehat{\eta}^{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} : \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, we have for $z\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$,

$$\widehat{\rho}^{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(z) = V\boldsymbol{\alpha}(z_a)V^* = V\boldsymbol{\alpha}(V^*zV)V^* = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(z))) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(z)$$

and similarly $\widehat{\eta}^{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(w) = \boldsymbol{\beta}(w)$ for $w\in\mathcal{B}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. Hence the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ defined by the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the universal C^*-algebra generated by two isometries u, v and elements $x\in\mathcal{A}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{gathered} uu^* + vv^* = 1, \\ uu^*x = xuu^*, \qquad vv^*x = xvv^*, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(x) = u^*xu, \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}(x) = v^*xv \end{gathered}$$

for $x\in\mathcal{A}$. By the universality of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ the correspondence

$$\begin{gathered} u\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \longrightarrow \widehat{u} = U\otimes S_1 \in \mathcal{A}\times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbb{Z}^2\otimes\mathcal{O}_2, \\ v\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \longrightarrow \widehat{v} = V\otimes S_2 \in \mathcal{A}\times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbb{Z}^2\otimes\mathcal{O}_2, \\ x\in\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \widehat{x} = x\otimes 1 \in \mathcal{A}\times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbb{Z}^2\otimes\mathcal{O}_2 \end{gathered}$$

gives rise to a $*$-homomorphism. If in particular, the action $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\alpha}^n \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}^m \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is outer, $(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}, \{\boldsymbol{\beta}\}, \kappa)$ satisfies condition (I) so that the above correspondence gives rise to a $*$-isomorphism, that is

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \cong C^*(U \otimes S_1, V \otimes S_2, x \mid x \in \mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A} \times_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_2.$$

7. Textile systems of commuting matrices

We will study C^*-algebras associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad modules defined by textile systems of commuting matrices (cf. [25]). Let A be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in nonnegative integers. We may consider a directed graph $G_A = (V, E_A)$ with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and edge set E_A consisting of $A(i,j)$ edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j. Denote by $\Sigma^A = E_A$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the N-dimensional commutative C^*-algebra $\mathbb{C}^N$ with minimal projections $E_1, \dots, E_N$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}E_N.$$

We set for $\alpha \in \Sigma^A, i,j = 1, \dots, N$

$$\widehat{A}(i,\alpha,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s(\alpha) = v_i, \ r(\alpha) = v_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $s(\alpha), r(\alpha)$ mean the source vertex , the range vertex of an edge α respectively. We define an endomorphism ρ_α^A on $\mathcal{A}$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^A$:

$$\rho_\alpha^A(E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{A}(i,\alpha,j) E_j, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then we have a C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho^A, \Sigma^A)$. Let B be another $N \times N$ matrix with entries in nonnegative integers such that

$$AB = BA. \tag{7.1}$$

Consider the associated directed graph $G_B = (V, E_B)$ and the C^*-symbolic dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \rho^B, \Sigma^B)$ for B. Let $S_\alpha, \alpha \in E_A$, $T_a, a \in E_B$ be the generating partial isometries of the associated C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\rho^A}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\rho^B}$ respectively. They satisfy the relations:

$$\sum_{\beta \in E_A} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1, \qquad x S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* x, \qquad S_\alpha^* x S_\alpha = \rho_\alpha^A(x),$$
$$\sum_{b \in E_B} T_b T_b^* = 1, \qquad x T_a T_a^* = T_a T_a^* x, \qquad T_a^* x T_a = \rho_a^B(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in E_A, a \in E_B$ respectively. The C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\rho^A}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\rho^B}$ are isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{B}}$ respectively. Put subalgebras

$$\mathcal{B}_A = C^*(S_\alpha E_i S_\alpha^* : \alpha \in E_A, i = 1, \dots, N) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\rho^A},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_B = C^*(T_a E_i T_a^* : a \in E_B, i = 1, \dots, N) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\rho^B}.$$

Since $S_\alpha E_i S_\alpha^* \neq 0$ if and only if $S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = E_i$, which is equivalent to $r(\alpha) = v_i$. Hence $\mathcal{B}_A$ is of $|E_A|$-dimension, and similarly $\mathcal{B}_B$ is of $|E_B|$-dimension. By the identities

$$E_i = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} S_\alpha \rho_\alpha^A(E_i) S_\alpha^* = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{A}(i,\alpha,j) S_\alpha E_j S_\alpha^*, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

E_i belongs to $\mathcal{B}_A$ so that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_A$ and similarly $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_B$. The equality (7.1) implies that the cardinal numbers of the sets of the pairs of directed edges

$$\Sigma^{AB}_{(i,j)} = \{(\alpha, b) \in E_A \times E_B \mid s(\alpha) = v_i, r(\alpha) = s(b), r(b) = v_j\},$$
$$\Sigma^{BA}_{(i,j)} = \{(a, \beta) \in E_B \times E_A \mid s(a) = v_i, r(a) = s(\beta), r(\beta) = v_j\}$$

coincide with each other for each v_i and v_j, so that one may take a bijection $\kappa : \Sigma^{AB} = \cup_{i,j=1}^N \Sigma^{AB}_{(i,j)} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{BA} = \cup_{i,j=1}^N \Sigma^{BA}_{(i,j)}$ such that

$$s(\alpha) = s(a), \quad r(b) = r(\beta) \quad \text{if } \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta).$$

We then have

Lemma 7.1. *For* $(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^{AB}, (a, \beta) \in \Sigma^{BA}$ *with* $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$, *we have*

$$\rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha(E_i) = \rho^A_\beta \circ \rho^B_a(E_i), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof. We have for $i, k = 1, \dots, N$

$$\rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha(E_i)E_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{A}(i, \alpha, j)\rho^B_b(E_j)E_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{A}(i, \alpha, j)\widehat{B}(j, b, k)E_k.$$

Hence $\rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha(E_i)E_k = E_k$ if and only if $v_i = s(\alpha), r(\alpha) = s(b), r(b) = v_k$. Similarly for $(a, \beta) \in \Sigma^{BA}$, we have $\rho^A_\beta \circ \rho^B_a(E_i)E_k = E_k$ if and only if $v_i = s(a), r(a) = s(\beta), r(\beta) = v_k$. The condition $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$ implies $r(\alpha) = s(b), r(a) = s(\beta), s(\alpha) = s(a), r(b) = r(\beta)$. Therefore

$$\rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha(E_i)E_k = \rho^A_\beta \circ \rho^B_a(E_i)E_k \qquad \text{for } i, k = 1, \dots, N$$

and hence $\rho^B_b \circ \rho^A_\alpha = \rho^A_\beta \circ \rho^B_a$ if $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$. □

We thus have a C^*-textile dynamical system

$$(\mathcal{A}, \rho^A, \rho^B, E_A, E_B, \kappa).$$

We set

$$E_\kappa = \{(\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_A \times E_B \times E_B \times E_A | \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)\}.$$

For $a \in E_B$ and $\alpha \in E_A$, we will describe the $*$-homomorphisms

$$\widehat{\eta}^\rho_a : \mathcal{B}_{\rho^A}(= \mathcal{B}_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\rho^A}(= \mathcal{B}_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\rho}^\eta_\alpha : \mathcal{B}_{\rho^B}(= \mathcal{B}_B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\rho^B}(= \mathcal{B}_B)$$

which will be denoted by $\widehat{\rho}^A_a$ and by $\widehat{\rho}^B_\alpha$ respectively. We set

$$E_{AB} = \Sigma^{AB}, \qquad E_{BA} = \Sigma^{BA}.$$

For $(a, \beta) \in E_{BA}$ there uniquely exists $(\alpha, b) \in E_{AB}$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$. We then define a map for $a \in E_B$

$$\kappa_a : \beta \in \{\beta \in E_A | (a, \beta) \in E_{BA}\} \longrightarrow \alpha \in \{\alpha \in E_A | \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } b \in E_B\}.$$

Similarly, we then define a map for $\alpha \in E_A$

$$\kappa_\alpha : b \in \{b \in E_B | (\alpha, b) \in E_{AB}\} \longrightarrow a \in \{a \in E_B | \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A\}.$$

We write the projection E_i also as E_{v_i}. Hence $w \in \mathcal{B}_A, z \in \mathcal{B}_B$ are uniquely written as $w = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} w(\alpha) S_\alpha E_{r(\alpha)} S^*_\alpha$, $z = \sum_{a \in E_B} z(a) T_a E_{r(a)} T^*_a$ for $w(\alpha), z(a) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Keep the above notations. We have*

$$\widehat{\rho}^A_a(w) = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} w(\kappa_a(\beta)) S_\beta E_{r(\beta)} S^*_\beta, \qquad \widehat{\rho}^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{f \in E_B} z(\kappa_\alpha(b)) T_b E_{r(b)} T^*_b.$$

Proof. We have

$$\widehat{\rho}^A_a(w) = \sum_{\substack{\beta,b,\alpha\\(\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in E_\kappa}} S_\beta \rho^B_b(w(\alpha)E_{r(\alpha)})S^*_\beta = \sum_{\substack{\beta,b,\alpha\\(\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in E_\kappa}} w(\alpha)S_\beta T^*_b E_{r(\alpha)} T_b S^*_\beta.$$

Since $T^*_b E_{r(\alpha)} T_b = E_{r(b)} = E_{r(\beta)}$ and $\alpha = \kappa_a(\beta)$, we see

$$\widehat{\rho}^A_a(w) = \sum_{\beta\in E_A} w(\kappa_a(\beta))S_\beta E_{r(\beta)} S^*_\beta.$$

We similarly have

$$\widehat{\rho}^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta\\(\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in E_\kappa}} T_b \rho^A_\beta(z(a)E_{r(a)})T^*_b = \sum_{\substack{b,a,\beta\\(\alpha,b,a,\beta)\in E_\kappa}} z(a)T_b S^*_\beta E_{r(\beta)} S^*_\beta T^*_b.$$

Since $S^*_\beta E_{r(a)} S^*_\beta = E_{r(\beta)} = E_{r(b)}$ and $a = \kappa_\alpha(b)$, we see

$$\widehat{\rho}^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{b\in E_B} w(\kappa_\alpha(b))T_b E_{r(b)} T^*_b.$$

□

For $\omega = (\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_\kappa$, put $v(\omega) = r(b)(= r(\beta)) \in V$ and

$$E_\omega = E_{v(\omega)}(= \rho^B_b(\rho^A_\alpha(1)) = \rho^A_\beta(\rho^B_a(1))).$$

Then the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa$ is written as $\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa$ and regarded as

$$\mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\mathbb{C}E_\omega.$$

For $\xi = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}, \xi' = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi'(\omega)E_{v(\omega)} \in \mathcal{H}^{A,B}_\kappa$ and $y = \sum_{v\in V} y(v)E_v \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\xi(\omega), \xi'(\omega)$ and $y(v) \in \mathbb{C}$, we see

0. The right $\mathcal{A}$-module structure and the right $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product are written as follows:

$$\xi\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(y) = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}y(v(\omega)), \qquad \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} \overline{\xi(\omega)}\xi'(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}.$$

Furthermore for $w = \sum_{\alpha\in E_A} w(\alpha)S_\alpha E_{r(\alpha)} S^*_\alpha$, $z = \sum_{a\in E_B} z(a)T_a E_{r(a)} T^*_a$ with $w(\alpha), z(a) \in \mathbb{C}$,

1. The right $\mathcal{B}_A$-action φ_A and the right $\mathcal{B}_B$-action φ_B are written as follows:

$$\xi\varphi_A(w) = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}\varphi_A(w) = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)w(b(\omega))E_{v(\omega)},$$

$$\xi\varphi_B(z) = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}\varphi_B(z) = \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)z(r(\omega))E_{v(\omega)}.$$

2. The left $\mathcal{B}_A$-action ϕ_A and the left $\mathcal{B}_B$-action ϕ_B are written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_A(w)\xi &= \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}\phi_A(w)\\ &= \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)E_{v(\omega)}\rho^B_{r(\omega)}(w(t(\omega))E_{r(t(\omega))})\\ &= \sum_{\omega\in E_\kappa} {}^{\oplus}\xi(\omega)w(t(\omega))E_{v(\omega)}\rho^B_{r(\omega)}(E_{r(t(\omega))}).\end{aligned}$$

As $\rho^B_{r(\omega)}(E_{r(t(\omega))}) = E_{v(\omega)}$, we have

$$\phi_A(w)\xi = \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \xi(\omega) w(t(\omega)) E_{v(\omega)}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_B(z)\xi &= \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \xi(\omega) E_{v(\omega)} \phi_B(z) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \xi(\omega) E_{v(\omega)} \rho^A_{b(\omega)}(z(l(\omega)) E_{r(l(\omega))}) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \xi(\omega) z(l(\omega)) E_{v(\omega)} \rho^A_{b(\omega)}(E_{r(l(\omega))}).\end{aligned}$$

As $\rho^A_{b(\omega)}(E_{r(l(\omega))}) = E_{v(\omega)}$, we have

$$\phi_B(z)\xi = \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \xi(\omega) z(l(\omega)) E_{v(\omega)}.$$

3. The right $\mathcal{B}_A$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_A$ and the right $\mathcal{B}_B$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_B$ are written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_A &= \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa} S_{b(\omega)} \overline{\xi(\omega)} E_{v(\omega)} \xi'(\omega) S^*_{b(\omega)}, \\ \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_B &= \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa} T_{r(\omega)} \overline{\xi(\omega)} E_{v(\omega)} \xi'(\omega) T^*_{r(\omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

As $E_{v(\omega)} = S^*_{b(\omega)} S_{b(\omega)} = T^*_{r(\omega)} T_{r(\omega)}$, we have

$$\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_A = \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa} \overline{\xi(\omega)} \xi'(\omega) S_{b(\omega)} S^*_{b(\omega)}, \qquad \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_B = \sum_{\omega \in E_\kappa} \overline{\xi(\omega)} \xi'(\omega) T_{r(\omega)} T^*_{r(\omega)}.$$

4. The positive maps $\lambda_A : \mathcal{B}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda_B : \mathcal{B}_B \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are written as follows:

$$\lambda_A(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} w(\alpha) E_{r(\alpha)}, \qquad \lambda_B(z) = \sum_{a \in E_B} z(a) E_{r(a)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lambda_A(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_A) = \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}, \qquad \lambda_B(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_B) = \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Put $p_\alpha = S_\alpha E_{r(\alpha)} S^*_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in E_A$ and $q_a = T_a E_{r(a)} T^*_a$ for $a \in E_B$. Hence

$$\mathcal{B}_A = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} \mathbb{C} p_\alpha, \qquad \mathcal{B}_B = \sum_{a \in E_B} \mathbb{C} q_a.$$

By Lemma 7.2, we have

$$\widehat{\rho}^A_a(w) = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} w(\kappa_a(\beta)) p_\beta \quad \text{for } w = \sum_{\alpha \in E_A} w(\alpha) p_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_A, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{b \in E_B} z(\kappa_\alpha(b)) q_b \quad \text{for } z = \sum_{a \in E_B} z(a) q_a \in \mathcal{B}_B. \tag{7.3}$$

We define $\kappa_B : E_A \times E_B \times E_A \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$ and $\kappa_A : E_B \times E_A \times E_B \longrightarrow \{0,1\}$ by

$$\kappa_B(\alpha, a, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa_a(\beta) = \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_A(a, \alpha, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa_\alpha(b) = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We identify the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ with the universal C^*-algebra subject to the relation $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B})$, and denote the generating partial isometries by $\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in E_A$ and $\mathtt{v}_a, a \in E_B$. Since $\widehat{\rho}_a^A(w) = \mathtt{v}_a^* w \mathtt{v}_a$ and $\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^B(z) = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* z \mathtt{u}_\alpha$ with (7.2) and (7.3), we have

Lemma 7.3.

$$\mathtt{v}_a^* p_\alpha \mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} \kappa_B(\alpha, a, \beta) p_\beta, \qquad \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_A(a, \alpha, b) q_b.$$

Define $|E_A| \times |E_A|$-matrix $A^E = [A^E(\alpha,\beta)]_{\alpha,\beta \in E_A}$ and $|E_B| \times |E_B|$-matrix $B^E = [B^E(a,b)]_{a,b \in E_B}$ by

$$A^E(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(\alpha) = s(\beta), \\ 0 & \text{if } r(\alpha) \ne s(\beta), \end{cases} \qquad B^E(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(a) = s(b), \\ 0 & \text{if } r(a) \ne s(b) \end{cases}$$

respectively. We then have for $\delta \in E_A$,

$$E_{r(\delta)} = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} S_\beta \rho_\beta^A(E_{r(\delta)}) S_\beta^* = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} S_\beta \widehat{A}(r(\delta), \beta, r(\beta)) E_{r(\beta)} S_\beta^* = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} A^E(\delta, \beta) p_\beta$$

and similarly for $d \in E_B$

$$E_{r(d)} = \sum_{b \in E_B} B^E(d, b) q_b.$$

Since we know that

$$\widehat{\rho}_\alpha^B(p_\delta) = \begin{cases} E_{r(\delta)} & \text{if } \delta = \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ,} \end{cases} \qquad \widehat{\rho}_a^A(q_d) = \begin{cases} E_{r(d)} & \text{if } d = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\sum_{\delta \in E_A} p_\delta = \sum_{d \in E_B} q_d = 1$, we have

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} A^E(\alpha, \beta) p_\beta, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{b \in E_B} B^E(a, b) q_b.$$

Therefore we have

Proposition 7.4. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is $*$-isomorphic to the universal C^*-algebra generated by two families of projections $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in E_A}$, $\{q_a\}_{a \in E_B}$ and two families of partial isometries $\{\mathtt{u}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in E_A}$, $\{\mathtt{v}_a\}_{a \in E_B}$ subject to the relations:*

$$\sum_{\beta \in E_A} p_\beta = \sum_{b \in E_B} q_b = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} \mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b \in E_B} \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* = 1, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* p_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* q_a = \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a = q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* p_\alpha = p_\alpha \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{7.6}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} A^E(\alpha, \beta) p_\beta, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{b \in E_B} B^E(a, b) q_b, \tag{7.7}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_A(a, \alpha, b) q_b, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a^* p_\alpha \mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} \kappa_B(\alpha, a, \beta) p_\beta \tag{7.8}$$

for $\alpha \in E_A$ *and* $a \in E_B$ *where*

$$\kappa_A(a,\alpha,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa(\alpha,b) = (a,\beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa_B(\alpha,a,\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \kappa(\alpha,b) = (a,\beta) \text{ for some } b \in E_B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The relations (7.4), (7.5) and (7.7) imply

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* p_\delta \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \begin{cases} \sum_{\beta \in E_A} A^E(\alpha,\beta) p_\beta & \text{if } \delta = \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathtt{v}_a^* q_d \mathtt{v}_a = \begin{cases} \sum_{b \in E_B} B^E(a,b) q_b & \text{if } d = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above two relations are equivalent to (5.5) and (5.6). Since the two C^*-algebras $\mathcal{B}_A$ and $\mathcal{B}_B$ are generated by the projections $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in E_A}$ and $\{q_a\}_{a \in E_B}$ respectively, we see that the relations (7.4), (7.5), (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8) are equivalent to the relations $(\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B})$. $\square$

We will further study the above operator relations.

Lemma 7.5. *p_α commutes with q_a for all $\alpha \in E_A$, $a \in E_B$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in E_A$, $a \in E_B$, by (7.4), we have

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} \mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* p_\alpha + \sum_{b \in E_B} \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* p_\alpha$$

By (7.5), we have

$$\mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* p_\alpha = \begin{cases} \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta, \end{cases} \qquad q_a \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* = \begin{cases} \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* & \text{if } a = b, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}$$

so that $p_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* + \sum_{b \in E_B} \mathtt{v}_b \mathtt{v}_b^* p_\alpha$ and hence $q_a p_\alpha = q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* + \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^* p_\alpha$. Since q_a commutes with $\mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$ and p_α commutes with $\mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*$, we have

$$q_a p_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a + p_\alpha \mathtt{v}_a \mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{7.9}$$

which is symmetrically equal to $p_\alpha q_a$. $\square$

Put for $\alpha, \beta \in E_A$ and $a, b \in E_B$

$$\kappa_{AB}(\alpha,b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(\alpha) = s(b), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \kappa_{BA}(a,\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(a) = s(\beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7.6. *For $\alpha \in E_A, a \in E_B$, we have*

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_{AB}(\alpha,b) q_b, \qquad \mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{\beta \in E_A} \kappa_{BA}(a,\beta) p_\beta.$$

Proof. By (7.4) and (7.8) and the equality $\sum_{a \in E_B} \kappa_A(a,\alpha,b) = \kappa_{AB}(\alpha,b)$, we have

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{a \in E_B} \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{a \in E_B} \sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_A(a,\alpha,b) q_b = \sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_{AB}(\alpha,b) q_b.$$

The equality for $\mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a$ is similarly shown. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *For $\alpha \in E_A$, $a \in E_B$, if $r(\alpha) = r(a)$, then $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a$.*

Proof. The condition $r(\alpha) = r(a)$ implies $\sum_{b \in E_B} \kappa_{AB}(\alpha, b) q_b = \sum_{b \in E_B} B^E(a, b) q_b$. By the equality for $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha$ in the preceding lemma and the equality for $\mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a$ in (7.7), we have $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \mathtt{v}_a^* \mathtt{v}_a$. $\square$

Put

$$\Omega_\kappa = \{(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B | s(\alpha) = s(a), \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in E_A, b \in E_B\}$$

and $e_{\alpha,a} = p_\alpha q_a$ for $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$.

Lemma 7.8. (i) $\sum_{(\alpha,a) \in \Omega_\kappa} e_{(\alpha,a)} = 1$.

(ii) *The C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa}$ generated by the subalgebras $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{B}_\eta$ is $*$-isomorphic to the direct sum $\sum_{(\alpha,a) \in \Omega_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} e_{(\alpha,a)}$. It is the C^*-algebra of all complex valued continuous functions on Ω_κ.*

Proof. By the equality (7.9), one sees that $p_\alpha q_a \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha \neq 0$ or $\mathtt{v}_a^* p_\alpha \mathtt{v}_a \neq 0$. The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that there exists $b \in E_B$ such that $\kappa_A(a, \alpha, b) \neq 0$ or there exists $\beta \in E_A$ such that $\kappa_B(\alpha, a, \beta) \neq 0$, which is also equivalent to the condition that there exist $b \in E_B$ and $\beta \in E_A$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta)$. Hence we have $p_\alpha q_a \neq 0$ if and only if $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{(\alpha,a) \in \Omega_\kappa} e_{(\alpha,a)} = (\sum_{\alpha \in E_A} p_\alpha) \cdot (\sum_{a \in E_B} q_a) = 1.$$

As $p_\alpha q_a \cdot p_{\alpha'} q_{a'} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ or $a \neq a'$, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ is $*$-isomorphic to $\sum_{(\alpha,a) \in \Omega_\kappa}{}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} e_{(\alpha,a)}$. $\square$

We define two $|\Omega_\kappa| \times |\Omega_\kappa|$-matrcies A_κ and B_κ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by

$$A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } \beta \in E_A \text{ such that } \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\delta, b) \in \Omega_\kappa$, and

$$B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } b \in E_B \text{ such that } \kappa(\alpha, b) = (a, \beta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $(\alpha, a), (\beta, d) \in \Omega_\kappa$ respectively. They represent the concatenations of edges as in the following figures respectively:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & {} \\ \circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \circ & \end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[d, "b"'] \\ \circ \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \circ \\ {} & \end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 7.9. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is $*$-isomorphic to the universal C^*-algebra generated by a family $\{e_{(\alpha,a)}\}_{(\alpha,a) \in \Omega_\kappa}$ of projections and two families of* *partial isometries* $\{\mathtt{u}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in E_A}$, $\{\mathtt{v}_a\}_{a\in E_B}$ *subject to the relations:*

$$\sum_{(\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa} e_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{\beta\in E_A} \mathtt{u}_\beta \mathtt{u}_\beta^* + \sum_{b\in E_B} \mathtt{v}_b\mathtt{v}_b^* = 1, \tag{7.10}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* = \sum_{a\in E_B} \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* e_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{a\in E_B} e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*, \tag{7.11}$$

$$\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^* = \sum_{\alpha\in E_A} \mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^* e_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{\alpha\in E_A} e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}_a^*, \tag{7.12}$$

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha,a),(\delta,b))e_{(\delta,b)}, \tag{7.13}$$

$$\mathtt{v}_a^* e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_a = \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} B_\kappa((\alpha,a),(\beta,d))e_{(\beta,d)} \tag{7.14}$$

for $\alpha\in E_A$ *and* $a\in E_B$.

Proof. Let $\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \alpha\in E_A$ and $\mathtt{v}_a, a\in E_B$ be the partial isometries as in Proposition 7.4. The equalities (7.11) and (7.12) follow from the equalities (7.4) and (7.5), (7.6). As $\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* p_\alpha \mathtt{u}_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}_\alpha^*$ by (7.5), we have by (7.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{u}_\alpha^* e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha = \mathtt{u}_\alpha^* q_a \mathtt{u}_\alpha &= \sum_{b\in E_B} \kappa_A(a,\alpha,b)q_b \\
&= \sum_{b\in E_B} \kappa_A(a,\alpha,b)\sum_{\delta\in E_A} p_\delta q_b \\
&= \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha,a),(\delta,b))e_{(\delta,b)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality (7.14) is similarly shown. Hence the equalities (7.10), … , (7.14) follow from the equalities (7.4), … , (7.8). Conversely, from the projections $e_{(\alpha,a)}, (\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa$ by putting

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{a\in E_B} e_{(\alpha,a)}, \qquad q_a = \sum_{\alpha\in E_A} e_{(\alpha,a)}$$

the equalities (7.4), … , (7.8) follow from the equalities (7.10), … , (7.14). □

We then see the following theorem:

Theorem 7.10. *The* C^**-algebra* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ *associated with the Hilbert* C^**-quad module* $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ *defined by commuting matrices* A, B *and a specification* κ *is generated by partial isometries* $S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)}$ *for* $(\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa$ *satisfying the relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} S_{(\delta,b)}S_{(\delta,b)}^* + \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} T_{(\beta,d)}T_{(\beta,d)}^* &= 1, \\
S_{(\alpha,a)}^* S_{(\alpha,a)} &= \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha,a),(\delta,b))(S_{(\delta,b)}S_{(\delta,b)}^* + T_{(\delta,b)}T_{(\delta,b)}^*), \\
T_{(\alpha,a)}^* T_{(\alpha,a)} &= \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} B_\kappa((\alpha,a),(\beta,d))(S_{(\beta,d)}S_{(\beta,d)}^* + T_{(\beta,d)}T_{(\beta,d)}^*)
\end{aligned}$$

for $(\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa$.

Proof. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ is generated by $\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \alpha \in E_A, \mathtt{v}_a, a \in E_A$ and $e_{(\alpha,a)}, (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$ as in the preceding proposition. For $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$, put

$$S_{(\alpha,a)} = e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha, \qquad T_{(\alpha,a)} = e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_a. \tag{7.15}$$

Denote by $C^*(S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)} : (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa)$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ generated by elements $S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)}, (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa$. We have

$$S^*_{(\alpha,a)}S_{(\alpha,a)} = \mathtt{u}^*_\alpha e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b))e_{(\delta,b)}.$$

As $e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\beta = 0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$, and $e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_b = 0$ for $b \neq a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(\alpha,a)} &= \sum_{\beta\in E_A} e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\beta\mathtt{u}^*_\beta e_{(\alpha,a)} + \sum_{b\in E_B} e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_b\mathtt{v}^*_b e_{(\alpha,a)} \\ &= e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha\mathtt{u}^*_\alpha e_{(\alpha,a)} + e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{v}_a\mathtt{v}^*_a e_{(\alpha,a)} \\ &= S_{(\alpha,a)}S^*_{(\alpha,a)} + T_{(\alpha,a)}T^*_{(\alpha,a)} \end{aligned}$$

so that $e_{(\alpha,a)}$ belongs to the algebra $C^*(S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)} : (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa)$ and the equality

$$S^*_{(\alpha,a)}S_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{(\delta,b)\in\Omega_\kappa} A_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\delta, b))(S_{(\delta,b)}S^*_{(\delta,b)} + T_{(\delta,b)}T^*_{(\delta,b)})$$

holds. Similarly we have

$$T^*_{(\alpha,a)}T_{(\alpha,a)} = \sum_{(\beta,d)\in\Omega_\kappa} B_\kappa((\alpha, a), (\beta, d))(S_{(\beta,d)}S^*_{(\beta,d)} + T_{(\beta,d)}T^*_{(\beta,d)}).$$

As $\sum_{(\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa} e_{(\alpha,a)} = 1$ and $e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\beta = 0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$, we have

$$\mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{a\in\Sigma^\eta \\ (\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa}} e_{(\alpha,a)}\mathtt{u}_\alpha = \sum_{(\alpha,a)\in\Omega_\kappa} S_{(\alpha,a)}$$

so that $\mathtt{u}_\alpha$ and similarly $\mathtt{v}_a$ belong to the algebra $C^*(S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)} : (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa)$. Therefore the C^*-algebra generated by $e_{(\alpha,a)}, \mathtt{u}_\alpha, \mathtt{v}_a$ coincides with the subalgebra $C^*(S_{(\alpha,a)}, T_{(\alpha,a)} : (\alpha, a) \in \Omega_\kappa)$. □

Put $n = |\Omega_\kappa|$. Define a $2n\times 2n$-matrix H_κ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ by the block matrix

$$H_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa & A_\kappa \\ B_\kappa & B_\kappa. \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote by I_n and I_{2n} the identity matrices of size n and of size $2n$ respectively.

Lemma 7.11.

(i) $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(H_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n$.
(ii) $\mathrm{Ker}(H_\kappa - I_{2n})$ *in* $\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \cong \mathrm{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)$ *in* $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. (i) Put a $2n \times 2n$ block matrix $\widehat{H}_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} A_\kappa & I_n \\ B_\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then we easily see

$$\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(H_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(\widehat{H}_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n}.$$

Define a map

$$\Psi : (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \longrightarrow (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

which is a surjective homomorphism of abelian groups from $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Since we know $\Psi((\widehat{H}_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n}) = (A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n$, the homomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(\widehat{H}_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^n/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(H_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n$.

(ii) The groups $\operatorname{Ker}(H_\kappa - I_{2n})$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ are the torsion free part of $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/(H_\kappa - I_{2n})\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ and that of $\mathbb{Z}^n/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n$ respectively, so that they are isomorphic to each other. ☐

Therefore we reach the following theorem.

Theorem 7.12. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}}$ associated with the Hilbert C^*-quad module $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^{A,B}$ defined by commuting matrices A, B and a specification κ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}}$ for the matrix $H_\kappa^{A,B}$. Its K-groups $K_*(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}})$ are computed as*

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}}) &= \mathbb{Z}^n/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n)\mathbb{Z}^n, \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}}) &= \operatorname{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = |\Omega_\kappa|$.

We will finally present a concrete example. For $1 < N, M \in \mathbb{N}$, let A and B be the 1×1 matrices $[N]$ and $[M]$ respectively. The directed graph G_A associated to the matrix $A = [N]$ is a graph consists of a vertex denoted by v with N-self directed loops denoted by E_A. Similarly the directed graph G_B consists of the vertex v with M-self directed loops denoted by E_B. We fix a specification $\kappa : E_A \times E_B \longrightarrow E_B \times E_A$ defined by exchanging $\kappa(\alpha, a) = (a, \alpha)$ for $(\alpha, a) \in E_A \times E_B$. Hence $\Omega_\kappa = E_A \times E_B$ so that $|\Omega_\kappa| = |E_A| \times |E_B| = N \times M$. We then know $\kappa_A((\alpha, a), (\delta, b)) = 1$ if and only if $b = a$. And $\kappa_B((\alpha, a), (\beta, d)) = 1$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha$ as in the following figures respectively.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & \circ \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "a=b"'] & {} \\ {} & {} & \end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd} \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a"'] & {} \\ \circ \arrow[r, "\alpha=\beta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & {} \\ {} & \end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, for the case $N = 2$ and $M = 3$, we write $E_A = \{1, 2\}, E_B = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and Ω_κ as

$$\Omega_\kappa = E_A \times E_B = \{(1,1), (1,2), (1,3), (2,1), (2,2), (2,3)\}.$$

The 6×6 matrices A_κ and B_κ are written along the above ordered basis in order as:

$$A_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_\kappa = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively so that we have

$$A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{Z}^6/(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I)\mathbb{Z}^6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathrm{Ker}(A_\kappa + B_\kappa - I) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^6 \cong \{0\}.$$

Therefore the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}}$ for $A = [2], B = [3]$ and $\kappa =$exchange is a Cuntz-Krieger algebra stably isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_9$, whereas the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}^\kappa_{[2],[3]}$ considered in [23] is isomorphic $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_3$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_2$. We will further study these C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{H_\kappa^{A,B}}$ in a forthcoming paper.

References

[1] T. Bates and D. Pask, *C^*-algebras of labelled graphs*, J. Operator Theory **57**(2007), pp. 207–226.

[2] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[3] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57**(1977) pp. 173–185.

[4] J. Cuntz, *K-theory for certain C^*-algebras. II*, J. Operator Theory **5**(1981), pp. 101–108.

[5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.

[6] V. Deaconu, *C^*-algebras and Fell bundles associated to a textile system*, preprint, arXiv:1001.0037.

[7] M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic theory on compact spaces*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1976).

[8] R. Excel, D. Gonçalves and C. Starling, *The tiling C^*-algebra viewed as a tight inverse semigroup algebra*, preprint arXiv:1106.4535v1.

[9] R. Excel and M. Laca, *Cuntz–Krieger algebras for infinite matrices*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **512**(1999), pp. 119–172.

[10] R. Fischer, *Sofic systems and graphs*, Monats. für Math. **80** (1975), pp. 179–186.

[11] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari and Y. Watatani, *Ideal structure and simplicity of the C^*-algebras generated by Hilbert modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **159**(1998) pp. 295–322.

[12] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6**(2000), pp. 1-20.

[13] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997) pp. 505–541.

[14] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK (1995).

[15] N. G. Markley and M. E. Paul, *Matrix subshifts for $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ symbolic dynamics*, Proc. London Math. **43**(1981), pp. 251–272.

[16] K. Matsumoto, *Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants*, Documenta Math. **4** (1999), pp. 285–340.

[17] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Documenta Math. **7** (2002), pp. 1–30.

[18] K. Matsumoto, *Construction and pure infniteness of C^*-algebras associated with lambda-graph systems*, Math. Scand. **97** (2005), pp. 73–89.

[19] K. Matsumoto, *Actions of symbolic dynamical systems on C^*-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **605**(2007), pp. 23-49.

[20] K. Matsumoto, *Textile systems on lambda graph systems*, Yokohama Math. J. **54**(2008), pp. 121-206.

[21] K. MATSUMOTO, *Orbit equivalence in C^*-algebras defined by actions of symbolic dynamical systems*, Contemporary Math. **503**(2009), pp. 121–140.

[22] K. MATSUMOTO, *Actions of symbolic dynamical systems on C^*-algebras II. Simplicity of C^*-symbolic crossed products and some examples*, Math. Z. **265**(2010), pp. 735-760.

[23] K. MATSUMOTO, *C^*-algebras associated with textile dynamical systems*, preprint, arXiv:1106.5092v1.

[24] K. MATSUMOTO, *C^*-algebras associated with Hilbert C^*-multi modules (tentative title), in preparation.*

[25] M. NASU, *Textile systems for endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **546**(1995).

[26] W. L. PASCHKE, *K-theory for actions of the circle group on C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **6**(1981), pp. 125–133.

[27] D. PASK, I. RAEBURN AND N. A. WEAVER, *A family of* 2*-graphs arising from two-dimensional subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Sytems **29**(2009), pp. 1613–1639.

[28] D. PASK, I. RAEBURN AND N. A. WEAVER, *Periodic* 2*-graphs arising from subshifts*, preprint arXiv:0911.0730.

[29] A. L. T. PATERSON, *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, Progress in Mathematics 170, Birkhäuser Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1998

[30] M. PIMSNER AND D. VOICULESCU, *Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext-groups of certain cross-products C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **4**(1980), pp. 93–118.

[31] M. V. PIMSNER, *A class of C^*-algebras generalizing both Cuntz–Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$*, in Free Probability Theory, Fields Institute Communications **12**(1996), pp. 189–212.

[32] G. A. PINO, J. CLARK, A. A. HUFF AND I. RAEBURN, *Kumjian-Pask algebras of higher rank graphs*, preprint arXiv:1106.4361v1.

[33] J. RENAULT, *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 793 Springer, Berlin (1980).

[34] G. ROBERTSON AND STEGER, *Affine buildings, tiling systems and higher rank Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513**(1999), pp. 115-144.

[35] M. RØRDOM, *Classification of purely infinite simple C^*-algebras I*, J. Func. Anal. **131**(1995), pp. 415–458.

[36] K. SCHMIDT, *Dynamical systems of algebraic origin*, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin (1995).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOETSU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JOETSU 943-8512, JAPAN
E-mail address: `kengo@juen.ac.jp`